CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Miles travelled and miles to go

VINOD KOTHARI & COMPANY

2024

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Miles travelled and miles to go

VINOD KOTHARI & COMPANY Editor: Payal Agarwal

2024

Published by Vinod Kothari Consultants P Ltd www.vinodkothari.com

First edition, January, 2024

All Copyright © with Vinod Kothari Consultants P Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or reproduced on any disc, tape, perforated media or otherwise without prior permission in writing, from the publisher. Breach of this condition is liable for legal action.

The views expressed in this book are of the contributors. The information cited in this book has been drawn primarily by the contributors. While every effort has been made to keep the information cited in this book error free, the author/publisher takes no responsibility for any factual, information or other error which may have inadvertently crept in.

The contributors have taken all care and effort to ensure that the legislative provisions reproduced here are accurate and up to date. However, the publisher takes no responsibility for any inaccuracy or omission contained herein for advice, action or inaction based hereupon.

The views contained in the book are not intended to be professional opinion on any matter. Readers are advised to consult their own advisers before relying on this book for any business decision or view to take on any matter.

Preface

The birth of everything great takes place in something abject, harsh and oppressive. 1988, the year with which we start the backdrop of this Book, was a bad year from many perspectives, and especially so when it comes to corporate governance.

The country was still under the continuing chains of centralised controls, shortages and the license Raj. Economic laws were still based on bureaucratic controls, resulting in delays, graft and fixers who could make things happen at the Ministries. Multiple laws, such as the MRTP Act and Industries (Development and Regulation) Act were applicable on businesses, containing provisions which no one could understand, much less apply.

The country was shortly moving towards one of the worst balance of payments crisis in its history, worsened by political instability. Political instability was not just limited to India – there was much larger turmoil in the rest of the world, with Communism heading for a collapse by 1989. In the next 3 years, the Gulf War sent oil prices through the roof, and India was left with wafer-thin forex reserves.

As regards capital markets, there was no central regulator at all. The prevailing settlement system, the ability of brokers to do *vyaaji badla* transactions, ready forwards in securities, etc. are now all a part of fictions, movies, and OTT contents, thanks to the Harshad Mehta scam. Public issues of new companies, particularly financial services companies, were widely manipulated. Insider trading was rampant.

Was there corporate governance elsewhere in the world? Not much, except in academic texts. Corporate governance attracted little attention till 1990 in the UK, which is still regarded as the leader in implementing these standards world-over. The *Economist* did not use this term till 1990. The appointment of a Committee, called The Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance, popularly known as *Cadbury Committee*, was constituted by the Financial Reporting Council, London Stock Exchange and the accounting profession. The accounting profession was involved in this ablution exercise, as public confidence in veracity of financial reporting was its lowest, owing to sudden collapse of a company called Poly Peck, for allegedly faulty accounting. This backdrop was clearly stated in the report itself: "Its sponsors were concerned at the perceived low level of confidence both in financial reporting and in the ability of auditors to provide the safeguards which the users of company reports sought and expected. The underlying factors were seen as the looseness of accounting standards, the absence of a clear framework for ensuring that directors kept under review the controls in their business, and competitive pressures both on companies and on auditors which made it difficult for auditors to stand up to demanding boards."

The Committee's report recommended that listed companies on LSE make a self-declaration as a part of their annual reports of their adherence to the Code, which was later to be published by the LSE. Thus, corporate governance was born in the form of a "code" and not a regulation. It was only much later that the securities regulators started enforcing corporate governance through regulations. Many of the present-day concepts in corporate governance – composition of boards, role of non-executive directors, independent directors, board committees, etc., all owe their origin to the seminal work done by the Cadbury Committee. The institution of independent directors, which would later become the very fulcrum of corporate governance world-over, was also envisaged by the Committee.

The Audit Committee, of course, has a much longer history. The 1987 Report of National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Report, aka Treadway Commission report, recommended that the Audit Committee of the board, consisting *entirely* of independent directors, is responsible for integrity of

financial reports, internal financial controls and frauds. The New York Stock Exchange had been requiring publicly traded companies to have audit committees since 1978.

In India, corporate governance can easily be said to be the foster-child of SEBI. SEBI itself was born as a non-statutory entity in 1988, and got statutory recognition in 1992. From the CII's Desirable Corporate Governance Code to the Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee report, leading to the Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement, Indian listed companies joined the global mainstream, implementing what was by now well-known – audit committees, independent directors, as also a Corporate Governance Code. These were introduced by a Feb., 2000 circular of the SEBI, directing exchanges to add a clause 49 to their Listing Agreement.

The collapse of Enron in 2001 and WorldCom in 2002 brought a new impetus; Sarbanes Oxley was introduced in the USA in 2002. WorldCom, whose accounts had inflated values in billions, had several independent directors on the board as well. Same was the case *Satyam*, which broke out in 2009 in India. Therefore, whether the existence of these institutions help prevent scams, is a question that we constantly struggle to find an answer for. The only possible answer is – how would the stakes of the entire system be if these controls and agencies did not exist.

The enactment of the Companies Act 2013 gave a new strength to corporate governance. In particular, comprehensive regulation of related party transactions, including their approval by the audit committee and requirement of a majority of minority vote for transactions exceeding thresholds made conflicts of interest the core theme of corporate governance. Controls on related party transactions have continued to be stronger ever thereafter, with SEBI regulations giving new dimensions. The recent addition to "purpose and effect" test to related party transactions introduces a substance-over-form approach, such that these controls are not made slaves of language or ingenious structuring of transactions, to wriggle out of controls.

SEBI's regulations have shown dynamism and the ability to quickly adapt to the needs of time. Provisions on related party transactions have been amended several times. Similarly, provisions related to independent directors, managerial remuneration, etc have been toned up with experience. This is so very essential with the fast-changing corporate practices.

Shareholders' interest is not the only essence of corporate governance. As companies have continued to be ever important to our lives, and key players of the economic system, corporate sector exerts influence in all spheres, the sustainability reporting standards are also being enforced. Sustainability reporting itself wouldn't have been meaningful unless there were agencies of the ecosystem – sustainability rating, which is also being done. This area will, for obvious reasons, be far more dynamic and challenging than any other aspect of corporate governance, and in time to come, there will be a lot of work to do here.

This book consolidates our various writings over time on different topics of corporate governance. These writings would have been a motley collection, unless they were all shaped and paraphrased for being brought under a comprehensive and cohesive theme. This tough task has been done with deftness and ease by Payal. The remarkable part is that this entire consolidation exercise has been done in a matter of barely 25 days or so.

We are so glad to be presenting this publication to companies and corporate professionals.

Kolkata 17th January 2024

VINOD KOTHARI

From the Editor

As a part of our practice, almost our entire working day is spent, some way or the other, in dealing with corporate governance matters. Therefore, we are deeply immersed into the subject - for us, the topic is existential and essential. Naturally, therefore, we have been writing on this issue over the years. Most of our senior and other colleagues have done work on a range of issues that may fall under the wide ambit of corporate governance.

As we were preparing for the celebration of completion of 35 years of our journey, the thought of consolidating our work done over the last few years on this range of issues came. I was fortunate that I was assigned the task, which, at first seemed easy, but once into it, it was natural to realise that there was a substantial extent of consolidation, updation, re-paraphrasing, shaping up, synthesizing, etc., to be done. There were several areas where there were gaps, and therefore, we had to do work afresh.

The task was taken up by the entire team. I am so lucky that I am guided by seniors who themselves can slog and sweat; I could see many seniors work during late hours to provide the text. The result of this strenuous work of the entire team over the last 3 weeks or so is this wonderful compilation. It is now a complete guide on various aspects of corporate governance.

We have a total of 25 Chapters arranged into 9 Parts based on different aspects of corporate governance.

In **Part A**, we begin by tracking the evolution of corporate governance - the scandals and influential committee recommendations that have shaped the corporate governance framework into its existing form. Evidently, corporate governance, reactive to the needs of the changing business environment, is ever-evolving. While the future course of corporate governance may not be predictable, we attempt to discuss the possible trends in corporate governance in the near future.

As the governing body of the company, the effectiveness of the board is of primary importance. We discuss the various principles of board effectiveness, and the essential pillars of corporate governance, viz., independent directors, board committees, an effective board composition including diversity and succession planning, etc. in **Part B**. This Part also deals with the functioning, duties and liabilities of the board.

Managing conflicts of interest is an essential element in corporate governance, and **Part C** deals with the same. It covers aspects like conflicting interests of directors vis-a-vis their duties in dealings with the company directly or indirectly, including financial transactions, and managerial remuneration involving their direct personal interests. This Part also covers discussion on related party transactions, that does not restrict itself to related parties of the directors, but extends to the related parties of the company itself.

The board of directors, acting in the fiduciary capacity, is responsible to ensure maximum benefits to the principal, and is required to report the financial and non-financial information to the shareholders and other stakeholders at least on an annual basis. Here comes the role of audit and auditors, in providing reasonable assurance to the users of the reported information, as discussed in **Part D**.

A well-functional and active shareholder engagement is the key to effective corporate democracy. **Part E** deals with proxy advisors and stewardship responsibility of institutional investors, guiding the overall voting process in listed entities, enhancing corporate democracy.

Information symmetry is an important aspect in decision-making and a company is expected to provide timely information to the stakeholders. **Part F** discusses the various stages of information and disclosure requirements, starting from insider trading controls for unpublished price sensitive information, to prompt disclosure of material information to stock exchanges, including verification of market rumors and disclosure of agreements impacting the listed entities.

Corporates often create group structures, either for operational efficiency or to hide the actual controlling entity/ person behind a web of complex cross holdings. Hence group governance becomes significant, and particular focus is given on governance of subsidiaries, as discussed in **Part G**.

Companies cannot survive by chasing profits only, keeping their social responsibility and environmental sustainability aside. **Part H** deals with the corporate responsibility towards sustainability, primarily climate change, sustainable financing options and sustainability reporting requirements, along with the legal mandate of social responsibility put on the companies through mandatory CSR provisions. The very tricky and sensitive issue of board responsibility for climate change is also discussed in this part.

While a strong corporate governance is crucial for companies operating in every sector, the failure of corporate governance in financial sector entities pose a greater threat to the economy. An account of the additional elements of corporate governance controls for banks and NBFCs has been presented in **Part I**.

Guided by our mentor Mr. Vinod Kothari, this Book is a combined effort of the entire team of Vinod Kothari & Company. We hope this Book serves its purpose and readers get a 360° view of the significant aspects of corporate governance dealt with in this Book.

Kolkata

18th January, 2024

PAYAL AGARWAL

Index

Part A – Miles Travelled, Miles to Go	1
Chapter 1 - Corporate Governance: Miles Travelled	3
Chapter 2 - Corporate Governance: Miles To Go	17
Part B – The Pillars of Governance	
Chapter 3 - Board: The Governing Body of the Company	35
Chapter 4 - Independent Directors	51
Chapter 5 - Board Composition, Diversity and Succession	79
Chapter 6 - Board Committees	
Chapter 7 - Functioning of Board: its Powers, Duties and Liabilities	149
Part C – Conflicts of Interest	171
Chapter 8 - Dealings involving director's interest	
Chapter 9 - Controls over Director's Compensation	
Chapter 10 - Related Party Transactions	
Part D – Accountability and Assurance	
Chapter 11 - Accountability and Assurance: Role of Audit function in Corporate Go	
Part E – Corporate Democracy	
Chapter 12 - Role of Proxy Advisors in Corporate Governance	
Chapter 13 – Stewardship responsibilities in Corporate Governance	
Part F – Information Symmetry	
Chapter 14 - Price sensitive information handling and insider trading	
Chapter 15 - Information symmetry and disclosure of material events, information and J	proposals
Chapter 16 - Disclosure of Shareholders' Pacts	
Chapter 17 - Verification of Market Rumours	
Part G – Enterprise-wide Governance	509
Chapter 18 - Group Governance	511
Chapter 19 - Extending governance controls over controlled entities	
Part H – Sustainability and Social Responsibility	
Chapter 20 - Corporate Responsibility towards Climate Change	
Chapter 21 - Sustainability in Disclosures	
Chapter 22 - Sustainability in Finance	
Chapter 23 - Enforcing social responsibility by legal mandate	
Part I - Governance considerations in financial sector entities	
Chapter 24 - Corporate Governance for Banks	
Chapter 25 - Corporate Governance for NBFCs	667

Detailed Index

Part	A - M	liles Travelled, Miles to Go	1	
Cha	pter 1	- Corporate Governance: Miles Travelled	3	
Exe	cutive	Summary	3	
Intro	troduction			
1.	1988-	1991: The <i>glaani</i> phase	5	
	1.1.	Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988	5	
	1.2.	Setting up of SEBI	5	
	1.3.	Economic liberalization; the beginning of the end of government controls over business	5	
2.	1992:	Strengthening of the securities market regulator and insider trading regulations	6	
	2.1.	Statutory recognition to SEBI	6	
	2.2.	Prohibition of insider trading	6	
3.	1996:	Securities go paperless: The beginning of dematerialisation	6	
4.	1998:	Codifying corporate governance	6	
5.	1999-	2004: SEBI's corporate governance regulations; amendments in Companies Act, 1956	7	
	5.1.	Clause 49 of Listing Agreement	7	
	5.2.	IEPF, postal ballot, director responsibility statement, certification by practicing CS, etc	7	
	5.3.	Introduction of provisions relating to NCLT	7	
	5.4.	Other important developments	8	
6.	2005-	2008: E-governance initiative, introduction of DIN and LLPs	8	
	6.1.	MCA21 - the e-governance initiative of MCA	8	
	6.2.	Director identification number	8	
	6.3.	Introduction of LLPs	9	
7.	2009-	2012: MPS, sustainability, and voluntary corporate governance	9	
	7.1.	Revised limits of minimum public shareholding (MPS)	9	
	7.2.	Embracing sustainability into corporate governance norms	9	
	7.3.	Various guidelines on corporate governance	9	
8.	2013-	2015: Birth of new Company law and Listing Regulations1	0	
	8.1.	Companies Act, 2013 and rules	0	
	8.2.	SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 1	1	
	8.3.	Revised PIT Regulations	1	
	8.4.	Transitioning to Indian Accounting Standards1	1	
	8.5.	Other developments	1	
9.	2017-	2020: Decriminalisation of offences, SBO, auditors etc1	1	
	9.1.	Decriminalisation of offences	1	
	9.2.	Significant Beneficial Owners	2	

	9.3.	National Financial Reporting Authority	12
	9.4.	Stewardship Code for institutional investors	12
	9.5.	Informant mechanism in PIT Regulations	13
	9.6.	Other amendments to the Companies Act, 2013	13
10.		-2023: Web of controls on RPTs, CG norms for HVDLEs, enhanced CG norms f s/NBFCs	
	10.1.	Amendments in the framework of Related Party Transactions	13
	10.2.	CG norms for HVDLEs	14
	10.3.	Annual secretarial compliance report	14
	10.4.	Enhanced CG norms for banks and NBFCs	14
	10.5.	Revised norms for materiality-based disclosures	15
Clo	sing R	emarks	15
Cha	apter 2	2 - Corporate Governance: Miles To Go	17
Exe	cutive	Summary	17
1.	Use c	of Artificial Intelligence in CG framework	19
	1.1	Gaps under manual implementation of CG framework	19
	1.2	Areas where "technology" may assist corporate governance	19
	1.3	Identification of board members through AI	19
	1.4	Use of AI in decision making by boards	20
	1.5	Formalization of AI as a member of the board	
	1.6	Scope for an enhanced vigil mechanism	21
	1.7	Risk management with AI and Machine Learning	21
	1.8	Use of blockchain technology for financial reporting	
	1.9	Liability arising from the acts of AI	22
	1.10	Risks arising out of use of IT in corporate governance	23
2.	Overs	sight of managerial remuneration and linking it to ESG performance	25
	2.1	Stricter scanner for managerial pay-outs	25
	2.2	Remuneration linked with ESG performance	25
	2.2	A rising trend across the globe	25
	2.2	2.2 Suggested rationale and metrics for linking pay	26
3.	Focus	s on the improved standards for board quality	27
	3.1.	Global Scenario	27
	3.2.	Position in India	27
4.	Enha	nced disclosure and reporting on sustainability practices	28
	4.1.	Sustainability linked disclosure norms	29
	4.1	.1. Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)	30
	4.1	.2. European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS)	30
	4.1	.3. Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD)	30

	4.1.4. and I	Standards issued by the International Sustainability Standards Board (I FRS S2)	
5.		ory and increased stewardship role	
		al updations in the proxy advisory guidelines	
Clo		s	
Par	t B – The Pill	ars of Governance	
Cha	apter 3 - Boa	rd: The Governing Body of the Company	
	-	nary	
1.	Corporate b	oards: the outcome of separation of "ownership" and "management"	
2.	Board struct	tures – unitary or two-tier boards	
3.	Board effect	tiveness and principles of corporate governance	
	10.6. Board	d Leadership and Company Purpose	
	3.1.1.	Setting out the corporate culture	
	3.1.2.	Decision-making	
	3.1.3.	Relation with stakeholders	
	10.7. Divis	ion of responsibilities	
	3.1.4.	Role of chairperson	41
	3.1.5.	Role of CEO, MD or manager	
	3.1.6.	Role of executive directors	
	3.1.7.	Role of non-executive directors	
	3.1.8.	Role of Independent Directors	
	3.1.9.	Role of nominee directors	
	3.1.10.	Role of board committees	
	3.1.11.	Board support and the role of CS	
	10.8. Comp	position, Succession and Evaluation	
	10.9. Audit	t, risk and internal control	
	10.10.Remu	ineration	
Cha	apter 4 - Ind	ependent Directors	
Exe	cutive Summ	nary	
1.	Evolution of	f the concept of independent directors and global precedents	
	1.1. Evolu	ation of the concept of IDs in India	
2.	Criteria for	independence of IDs	
	2.1. Tests	of independence across jurisdictions	
	3. Tests	for independence in India	
	Test 1: N	ot a managing director, whole-time director or a nominee director	
	Test 2: In	tegrity	
	Test 3: Re	elevant expertise and experience	
	Test 4: N	ot a promoter / member of promoter group	

	Test 5: Not related to promoter / director	
	Test 6: No pecuniary relationship	58
	Test 7: No relative to have pecuniary relationship exceeding specified limits	60
	Test 8: Not a KMP or an employee	61
	Test 9: No relative to be a KMP or an employee	61
	Test 10: Not associated with audit firms, company secretaries in practice, cost auditors company	
	Test 11: Not associated with specified legal or consulting firms	
	Test 12: Not holding more than 2% of the voting power	
	Test 13: Not a CEO or director of NPO	
	Test 14: Board interlock	63
4.	Role of IDs	63
	a. Approval of RPTs:	65
	b. Remuneration of KMPs:	65
	c. Detection of fraud	65
	d. Separate meeting of IDs	65
	e. Report from the Committee of Independent Directors on schemes of arrangement.	66
	f. Report from the Committee of Independent Directors on fractional entitlements in 66	schemes
	g. Recommendation by a committee of IDs for open offer	66
	h. Recommendation by a committee of IDs for pricing in case of preferential issue [R 166A (2) of ICDR]	-
	i. Recommendation by a committee of IDs for justification of price band [Para 9 (K) Part A of Schedule VI of ICDR]	
	j. Recommendation by a committee of IDs for delisting offer [Reg. 28 of Delisting Regulations	67
5.	Appointment of IDs	67
	5.1. Requirement of DIN	67
	5.2. IDs databank	67
	5.3. Approval process	68
	5.4. Cooling-off period	70
6.	Immunity from liability of IDs and exceptions	72
	6.1. Scope of immunity- CA and the LODR	72
	6.2. Key elements restricting immunity	74
	6.3. Directors & Officers insurance ('D&O Insurance')	75
	6.4. Judicial views and observations on liability of NEDs	75
Ch	pter 5 - Board Composition, Diversity and Succession	79
Exe	cutive Summary	79
1.	Size of the board	

	1.1.	Legal and regulatory requirements w.r.t. board size	
	1.2.	Factors determining an optimal board size	
	1.2	2.1. Small board v/s large board	
2.	Categ	gories of directors on the board	
	2.1.	Regulatory requirements w.r.t. board composition in India	
	2.1	1.1. Resident director	
	2.1	1.2. Woman director	
	2.1	1.3. Independent directors	
	2.1	1.4. Non-executive directors	
3.	Boar	d diversity	
	3.1.	Global precedents on board diversity	
	3.2.	Need for board diversity	
	3.3.	Gender diversity	
	3.4.	Diversity of skills	
	3.4	4.1. Broad parameters relevant to core competencies required on the board	
	3.4	4.2. Sample skills matrix	
4.	Nom	ination and appointment of directors	93
	4.1.	Approvals required for appointment/ re-appointment of directors	
	4.1	1.1. Appointment of directors by the board of the company	
	4.1	1.2. Timeline for regularisation of appointment by shareholders	
	4.1	1.3. Requisite majority consent of shareholders for director's appointment	96
	4.2.	Qualifications of directors	
	4.3.	Disqualifications of directors	
	4.4.	Tenure of directorship	
5.	Remo	oval of directors	101
	5.1.	Legislative history of provisions on removal of directors	101
	5.2.	Process of removal of directors	102
	5.2	2.1. Executive and Non-Executive Directors	102
	5.2	2.2. Nominee directors	102
	5.2	2.3. Additional directors	103
	5.2	2.4. Managing director	103
6.	Succ	ession Planning	103
	6.1.	Global precedents on succession planning	104
	6.2	2.Regulatory provisions w.r.t. succession planning in India	104
	6.3.	Need for succession planning	104
	6.4.	Steps involved in succession planning	104
Ch	apter (6 - Board Committees	107

Exe	cutive Summa	ary	107
1.	Need for boa	rd committees	
	1.1. Statuto	pry/ regulatory requirements	
	1.2. Matter	s involving potential conflicts	
	1.3. Matter	s requiring focussed attention	
	1.4. Delega	ation of routine non-strategic matters	
2.	Audit Comm	ittee	109
	2.1. Regula	atory inclusion of the concept of AC	
	2.2. Comp	osition of AC	110
	2.3. Role a	nd responsibilities of AC	110
	2.3.1.	Oversight of financial reporting and review of financial disclosures	114
	2.3.2. audit p	Appointment of auditors along with review of performance and effective process.	
	2.3.3.	Monitoring internal audit and internal control mechanism	115
	2.3.4.	Review of utilisation of proceeds raised through an issue	117
	2.3.5.	Regulating Related Party Transactions	118
	2.3.6.	Scrutiny of inter-corporate loans and investments	118
	2.3.7.	Valuation of undertakings	
	2.3.8.	Review of vigil mechanism	
	2.3.9.	Appointment of CFO	
	2.3.10.	Review of scheme of amalgamation, demerger etc	
	2.3.11.	Review of internal controls on insider trading	
	2.4. Power	s of AC	
3.	Nomination	and Remuneration Committee (NRC)	
	3.1. Regula	atory inclusion of the concept of NRC	
	3.2. Comp	osition of NRC	
	3.3. Roles	and responsibilities of NRC	
	3.3.1. remun	Formulation of criteria for appointment of directors and recommendation eration policy	
	3.3.2.	Performance evaluation of directors	
	3.3.3.	Devising policy on board diversity	
	3.3.4. remun	Recommending appointment and removal of directors and SMPs and eration thereof	
4.	Stakeholders	Relationship Committee	
		osition of SRC	
		f SRC	
5.	Risk Manage	ement Committee	
	5.1. Need f	for a separate RMC	

	5.2.	Composition of RMC	
	5.3.	Role of RMC	134
	5.3	3.1. Process of Risk Management	134
6.	Corp	orate Social Responsibility Committee	
Cha	apter '	7 - Functioning of Board: its Powers, Duties and Liabilities	149
Exe	cutive	Summary	149
1.	Func	tioning of the board	
	1.1	Role of different directors	151
2.	Conc	lucting board meeting and decision making	151
	2.1	Relevance of preparing detailed minutes for a meeting	
	2.2	Resolution by circulation	
3.	Powe	ers of board	154
	3.1.	Balancing between delegation of powers and limitations therein	154
	3.2.	Delegation of powers to committees	155
	3.3.	Delegation with fetters	155
	3.4.	Whether matters other than statutory matters are brought before the board?	155
3.1	Resti	iction on powers of directors	156
	3.2	Ratification of acts done by the board	157
	3.2	2.1. Meaning and scope of ratification	157
	3.2	2.2. Principles of ratification	
	3.2	2.3. Who has the power to ratify?	
	3.2	2.4. Knowledge of breach is essential for ratification	159
	3.2	2.5. Whether all acts can be ratified?	159
	3.2	2.6. Effect of ratification on creditors & minority shareholders	161
4.	Dutie	es of directors	161
	4.1	Global law on directors' duties	
	4.2	Legal provisions in India	
	4.3	Judicial observations on the fiduciary duties of directors	164
	4.3	B.1. Business judgment rule	164
	4.3	3.2. "Good faith" and <i>Caremark</i> claims	
	4.4	Ratification of breach of duty by directors	166
	4.4	1.1. Whether breach of fiduciary duty can be ratified?	
5.	Direc	tors as trustees:	
6.	Direc	tors as officers	169
7.	Direc	tors as employees of the company:	169
8.	Lega	l position of the managing director:	169
Part	t C - C	Conflicts of Interest	171

Cha	pter 8	3 - Dealings involving director's interest	173
Exe	cutive	Summary	173
1.	Regu	latory provisions on disclosure of director's interests	174
2.	Appli	cable regulatory requirements in India	174
3.	Scope	e of director's "concern" or "interest" u/s 184	174
	3.1.	Meaning of "concern"	175
	3.2.	Meaning of "interest"	175
	3.3.	Inclusion of "indirect" interest	175
	3.4.	Collective interest of all directors	176
	3.5.	Conflict of interest in case of nominee directors	176
4.	Comp	pliances applicable to directors w.r.t. disclosure of interests	177
	4.1.	General disclosure u/s 184(1) of CA	177
	4.2.	Specific Disclosures u/s 184 (2) of CA	178
	4.3.	Taking note of directors' interest by the board	178
	4.4.	Maintenance of records in the register of contracts u/s 189	179
	4.5.	Consequences of failure to disclose interest	179
	5.	Recusal from participation on agenda items in which director is interested	179
	5.1.	Conducting meeting where number of interested directors exceed minimum que requirements	
	5.2.	Exemptions to private company	179
6.	Prohi	bition on lending to directors and interested entities	179
	6.1.	Global precedents on financial transactions between company and its directors	180
	6.2.	Regulatory provisions under CA	180
7.	Scope	e and coverage of "financial transactions"	182
	7.1.	Advancement of loans by company	182
	7.1	.1. Meaning of loan represented by book debt	182
	7.1	.2. Advances given by a company	182
	7.1	.3. Applicability on "investment" in debentures of a company	183
	7.2.	Provision for guarantee or security in connection with loan	184
	7.2	Applicability of section 185 on "letter of comfort"	184
	7.2	2.2. Performance based guarantee provided by a company	186
	7.2	2.3. Co-borrowing arrangements	186
8.	Excep	ptions from applicability of section 185	186
	8.1.	Granting of loan to MD/ WTD as per terms of employment or pursuant to sharehol approved scheme.	
	8.2.	Lending in the ordinary course of business	187
	8.3.	Financial assistance to wholly-owned subsidiary	187
	8.4.	Financial assistance to subsidiary	187

9.	Exemptions to select private companies	187
Cha	apter 9 - Controls over Director's Compensation	
Exe	cutive Summary	
1.	Managerial remuneration and concerns relating to Corporate Governance	190
2.	Global precedents on compensation controls	
	2.1. UK	191
	2.2. Australia	191
	2.3. USA	191
	2.4. Singapore	191
	2.5. France	192
3.	Evolution of the provisions relating to compensation in India	192
	3.1. The Companies Act, 1913	193
	3.2. The Companies Act, 1956	193
	3.3. Introduction of administrative guidelines in 1969	193
	3.4. Replacement of administrative guidelines by Schedule XIII	194
	3.5. The Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988	
	3.6. The Companies (Amendment) Act, 2002	
	3.7. The Companies Act, 2013	
	3.8. The Companies (Amendment) Act, 2017	
	3.9. Companies (Amendment) Act, 2020	
4.	Current provisions guiding compensation controls in India	
	4.1. Meaning of the term 'managerial personnel'	
	4.2. Meaning of managerial remuneration	
	4.2.1. Under CA, 2013	
	4.2.2. 'Perquisites' under IT Act, 1961	
	4.3. Limits on managerial remuneration	
	4.3.1. Under CA, 2013	
	4.3.2. Under LODR	
	4.4. Approval requirements	
	4.4.1. Audit committee (AC)	
	4.4.2. Nomination and Remuneration Committee (NRC)	
	4.4.3. Board of directors	
	4.4.4. Shareholders	
	4.4.5. Secured creditors/ NCD holders/ PFIs/ banks, in case of any default in payme 201	nt to them
	4.5. Provisions under sectoral regulations	
5.	FAQs on managerial remuneration	
	5.1. Meaning of managerial remuneration	

	5.2.	Payment of sitting fee	203
	5.3.	Rendering services in a professional capacity	203
	5.4.	Receipt of remuneration from more than two companies	204
	5.5.	Components of Employee Benefits Scheme, ESOP, etc.	204
	5.6.	Inadequacy of profits	206
	5.7.	Limits on overall remuneration payable to executive & non-executive director	206
	5.8.	Approvals	208
	5.9.	Approval of Shareholders	210
	5.10.	Specific provisions applicable to Banks and NBFCs	211
	5.11.	Disclosures under CA, Secretarial Standards & SEBI LODR Regulations	212
Cha	apter 1	0 - Related Party Transactions	215
Exe	cutive	Summary	215
1.	Need	for RPT controls	217
2.	Globa	al framework around RPT controls	217
	2.1.	OECD Principles around RPTs	217
	2.2.	USA	218
	2.3.	UK	219
	2.4.	Canada	219
	2.5.	Singapore	219
3.	Evolı	tion of regulatory regime on RPTs in India	219
4.	Over	view of RPT controls	220
	4.1.	Arm's length criteria	221
	4.2.	Ordinary course of business criteria	222
	4.3.	Approval requirements	222
5.	RPT	framework under CA	223
	5.1.	Applicability	223
	5.2.	Definition of RP	223
	5.3.	RPTs covered	223
	5.4.	Approval and review requirements	224
	5.4	.1. Approval of the Audit Committee	224
	5.4	Approval of the board of directors	225
	5.4	A.3. Approval of shareholders	226
6.	RPT	framework under LODR	228
	6.1.	Applicability	228
	6.2.	Definition and scope of RP	228
	6.3.	Scope and meaning of RPT	228
	6.4.	Materiality thresholds	229

	6.5.	Concept of material modification	229
	6.6.	Purpose and effect test for RPTs: Substance over form	229
	6.7.	Approval and review of AC and shareholders	230
	6.8.	Control on RPTs undertaken by subsidiaries	231
	6.9.	Exemptions to WOS and government companies	231
7.	RPT	controls in case of debt-listed entities	231
	7.1.	RPT controls under CA	231
	7.2.	RPT controls under LODR	231
8.	RPT	Policy and guide to framing the Policy	232
9.	Guid	e to framing SOPs for RPT compliances	233
10.	Discl	osure and reporting norms relating to RPTs	234
	10.1.	Disclosure of RPTs to the stock exchange	234
	10.2.	Disclosure in the Annual Report	234
11.	Role	of auditor vis-a-vis RPTs	234
12.	Share	eholders' approval for material RPTs	234
	12.1.	Periodicity of shareholders' approval	234
	12.2.	Approval for multi-year contracts	235
	12.3.	Extent and adequacy of information to be placed before the shareholders	235
	12	.3.1. Amount involved in the transaction/contract	235
	12	.3.2. Terms of the contract/transaction	236
	12	.3.3. Duration/span of the contract	236
	12.4.	Pre-approved transactions beyond the scope of aggregation	236
13.	Life o	of shareholders' approval for material RPTs	237
	13.1.	Manner of seeking shareholders' approval	237
14.	FAQs	s on RPT regulatory framework (as amended by the LODR 6 th Amendment)	238
	14.1.	Brief introduction	238
	14.2.	Definition of RP	238
	14	.2.1. Beneficial interest	240
	14	.2.2. Applicability in case of institutional holders	242
	14.3.	Definition of RPTs	243
	14.4.	Purpose and effect	244
	14.5.	Exemption from definition of RPT	246
	14.6.	AC approval	247
	14	.6.1. Material modifications	250
	14	.6.2. Information to be placed before the AC	253
	14.7.	Shareholders' approval	254
	14	.7.1. Generic approval of RPTs	258

	14.7.2. Information to be placed before the shareholders	
	14.8. Disclosure to stock exchanges	
	14.9. Disclosure in the Annual Report	
	14.10. Steps arising out of RPT framework	
15.	FAQs on Purpose and Effect test for RPTs	
	15.1. Meaning of purpose and effect (P&E)	
	15.1.1. Substance over form approach	
	15.1.2. Twin tests of P&E	
	15.2. Applicability	
	15.3. Examples of P&E test	
	15.4. Identification of RPTs with unrelated parties	
	15.5. Role of AC	
	15.6. Disclosure of RPT	
	15.7. RPT Policy	
16.	FAQs on half-yearly disclosure of RPTs to the stock exchanges	
	16.1. Background	
	16.2. Applicability	271
	16.3. Timeline for disclosure	
	16.4. Format of disclosure	
	16.5. Disclosure of RPTs to which listed entity is a party	
	16.6. Disclosure of RPTs to which the listed entity is not a party	
	16.7. Disclosure by Banks and NBFCs	
Part	t D – Accountability and Assurance	
Cha	apter 11 - Accountability and Assurance: Role of Audit function in Corporate O	Sovernance
Exe	ecutive Summary	
1.	Need for audit and auditors	
2.	Role of auditors	
3.	Appointment of auditors	
	3.1. Regulatory provisions on auditor's appointment under CA & LODR	
4.	Eligibility, qualifications and disqualifications of auditor	
	4.1. Eligibility, Qualification for appointment as auditors	
	4.2. Disqualifications	
5.	Auditor's disqualification on account of business relationship	
	5.1. Meaning of "business relationship"	
	5.2. Genesis of disqualification on account of business relationship	
	5.3. Carve-outs to business relationship	
	5.4. Permitted professional services	

	5.5.	Transactions in ordinary course of business at arm's-length price	304
	5.5.	Transactions which would not qualify as permissible commercial transactions	305
	5.6.	Test for auditor's independence in case of business relationship	305
6.	Appo	intment and Rotation of auditors	307
	6.1.	Tenure of appointment and mandatory rotation	307
	6.2.	Need for mandatory rotation	307
	6.3.	Rotation of firm vs rotation of partners	308
	6.4.	Cooling-off	309
7.	Audi	tor related disclosures in CG section of Annual Report	309
8.	Resig	gnation and Removal of auditors	309
	8.1.	Resignation by auditors	309
	8.2.	Removal of auditors	
9.	Audi	tor's role in assessing adequacy of internal controls	
10.	CG a	ffirmations by the auditor	
11.	Frauc	d reporting by auditors	
	11.1	Meaning of fraud	
	11.2	Legal implications - civil and criminal consequences of frauds	
	11.3	Detection of fraud	
	11.4	Responsibilities w.r.t fraud	
	11.5	Auditors' responsibility with respect to fraud	
	11.6	Non-compliance of section 143(12)	323
	11.7	Resignation of auditor	323
	11.8	Reporting of fraud	324
	11.9	Reporting of Frauds for Financial Entities	
	11	.9.1. Applicability & Meaning of Fraud	
	11	.9.2. Reporting of Fraud	
	11	.9.3. Review of frauds by Board/ Audit Committee	
12.	Other	r regulatory audits/ certification	
	12.1	Secretarial Audit	
	12	.1.1 Applicability	
	12	.1.2 Functions of a secretarial auditor	
	12	.1.3 Fraud reporting by secretarial auditors	
	12.2	ASC Report	
	12	.2.1. Applicability	
	12	.2.2. Comparison with secretarial audit report	
	12.3	CG Certification	
	12.3.	1 Contents of the certificate	

	12.4 Cost Audit	
	12.4.1 Recommendations of the Expert Committee on Company Law	
	12.4.2 Applicability	
	12.4.3 Regulatory compliances for the cost auditors	
	12.5 Internal Audit	
	12.5.1 Applicability	
Part	t E – Corporate Democracy	
Cha	apter 12 - Role of Proxy Advisors in Corporate Governance	
Exe	cutive Summary	
1.	Concept of proxy advisors	
2.	Effect of proxy advisor recommendation on corporate decisions	
3.	Regulatory framework on proxy advisers	
	3.1. India	
	3.2. United States of America	
	3.3. United Kingdom	
	3.4. Australia	
4.	Future outlook with respect to tightening of norms relating to proxy advisors	
Cha	apter 13 – Stewardship responsibilities in Corporate Governance	
Exe	cutive Summary	
1.	Need for the institutional investors to act as 'Stewards'	
2.	Stewardship responsibilities of the institutional investors	
3.	History of Stewardship Codes	
4.	Stewardship Codes in some of the major countries across the world	
	4.1. United Kingdom	
	4.2. United States	
	4.3. European Union	
	4.4. Australia	
	4.5. Singapore	
5.	Development of Stewardship Code(s) in India	
6.	What more can India do?	
Part	t F – Information Symmetry	
Cha	apter 14 - Price sensitive information handling and insider trading	
Exe	cutive Summary	
1.	Need for insider trading controls	
2.	Global framework around Insider Trading Regulations	
	2.1. OECD Principles on CG	
	2.2. USA	

	2.3. UK		
	2.4. Aust	ralia	
	2.5. Euro	ppean Union	
3.	Evolution of	of Insider Trading framework in India	
4.	Applicabili	ity of the framework	
	4.1. Equi	ities, debt securities, listed or proposed to be listed	
	4.2. Inter	mediaries/fiduciaries	
5.	Persons cov	vered under PIT Regulations	
	5.1. Insid	ler	
	5.2. Conr	nected Person	
	5.3. Desi	gnated Persons (DPs)	
6.	Meaning of	f information and UPSI	
	6.1. Whe	en does an information become a UPSI?	
	6.2. Meta	amorphosis of information	
	6.3. Evol	lution of the definition of UPSI	
	6.4. Price	e sensitivity of information	
7.	Key elemer	nts of controls relating to UPSI	
	7.1. Com	munication of UPSI	
	7.2. Resp	ponsibility for preservation of UPSI	
	7.2.1.	Role of Board of Directors	
	7.2.2.	Role of Audit Committee	
	7.2.3.	Role of CEO/ MD	
	7.2.4.	Role of the Compliance Officer	
	7.3. Man	ner of preservation of UPSI	
	7.3.1.	Chinese wall procedures	
	7.3.2.	Maintenance of Structured Digital Database ('SDD')	
	7.3.3.	Silent period	
	7.3.4.	Trading window closure	
	7.3.5.	Uniform dissemination of UPSI	
	7.3.6.	Avoiding sharing of UPSI with analysts	
	7.4. Infor	rmant mechanism	
8.	Key elemen	nts of controls relating to DPs	
	8.1. Ident	tification of DPs	
	8.2. Sens	itisation of the obligations of DPs	
	8.2.1.	Sharing of UPSI	
	8.2.2.	Adhering to trading window restrictions	
	8.2.3.	Obtaining preclearance of trade	

	8.2	2.4.	Avoiding contra-trades	394	
	8.2	2.5.	Disciplinary actions in case of violation	397	
9.	FAQ	s on PIT	Regulations	397	
	9.1.	Scope o	of applicability	397	
	9.1	l.1.	UPSI & Generally available information	398	
	9.1	1.2.	Immediate relative	398	
	9.1	1.3.	Connected Person (CP)	399	
	9.1	1.4.	Insider	402	
	9.1	1.5.	Designated Person (DP)	402	
	9.1	1.6.	Material Financial Relationship (MFR)	403	
	9.1	l.7.	Compliance Officer	404	
	9.1	1.8.	Chief Investor Relations Officer (CIRO)	405	
	9.1	1.9.	Trading	405	
	9.2.	Commu	inication of UPSI	406	
	9.2	2.1.	Restrictions on communication of UPSI	406	
	9.2	2.2.	Structured Digital Database (SDD)	407	
	9.3.	Trading	based on UPSI	407	
	9.4.	Trading	Plan	409	
	9.5.	Codes a	and Policies under the Regulations	410	
	9.5	5.1.	Code of Conduct for listed companies	410	
	9.5	5.2.	Code of conduct for intermediaries and fiduciaries	411	
	9.5	5.3.	Code of Fair Disclosure of UPSI	412	
	9.5	5.4.	Policies and procedures for inquiry in case of leak/ suspected leak of UPSI .	413	
	9.5	5.5.	Policy for determining Legitimate Purpose	413	
	9.6.	Disclos	ure requirements under the PIT Regulations	414	
	9.6	5.1.	Initial disclosure	414	
	9.6	5.2.	Continual Disclosures	415	
	9.6	5.3.	Annual Disclosure	419	
	9.6	5.4.	Event based disclosures	420	
	9.7.	Closure	of trading window	421	
	9.8.	Pre-clea	arance of trades	423	
	9.9.	Pledge.		424	
	9.10.	Contra-	trade	425	
	9.11.	Employ	vee stock option plan	428	
	9.12.	Internal	control mechanism	429	
	9.13. Informant, Voluntary Information Disclosure, etc				
	9.14.	Miscella	aneous	433	

	9.15. Penalty	434
	9.16. International Scenario	434
10.	FAQ on Structured Digital Database	436
	10.1. Introduction	436
	10.2. Content and controls for maintenance of and access to SDD	440
	10.3. UPSI sharing to outsiders, non-disclosure agreements, etc.	444
	10.4. Role of holding and subsidiary companies in UPSI and SDD	445
	10.5. Technology requirements, cloud hosting, access controls, etc	445
	10.6. Breach of SDD obligations, consequences, role of compliance officer	448
	10.7. Regulation 30 disclosure and SDD entry	449
	10.8. Trading window closure and SDD entry	449
	10.9. Format, periodicity of submission, certification of compliance certificate	450
	10.10.SEBI's Informal Guidance and FAQ on SDD matters	454
	10.11. Miscellaneous	455
	apter 15 - Information symmetry and disclosure of material events, information and pro	-
Exe	cutive Summary	
1.	Need for timely disclosure of material price sensitive information	
2.	Global regulations on disclosure of material events or information	
	2.1. USA	
	2.2. European Union	
	2.3. Australia	
3.	Reg 29: Pre-disclosure of board proposals	470
4.	Reg 30: Prompt disclosure of events and developments	
5.	Meaning of material event or information	470
6.	Determination of material event or information	
	6.1. Materiality Test	471
	6.1.1. Ascertaining Expected Impact in terms of Value (EIV)	472
	6.1.2. Rule of correspondence	472
	6.1.3. Meaning of 'absolute value of profit or loss after tax'	
	6.1.4. Significant market reaction	473
7.	Inter-relation between UPSI and materiality of information	474
8.	Timeline for disclosure of material event or information	474
8.1.	Information emanating from within or outside the listed entity	475
8.2.	Occurrence of an event or information	475
9.	Policy for determination of materiality	477
10.		
	10.1. Thresholds/ criteria for disclosure [Reg 30 (4)]	477

	10.2.	Timeline for disclosure	.478
	10.3.	Acquisition and disposal related [Para A (1) of Part A of Schedule III]	.479
	10.4.	Ratings related [Para A (3) of Part A of Schedule III]	.480
	10.5.	Fraud or Default [Para A (6) of Part A of Schedule III]	.481
	10.6.	Resignation, unavailability of KMPs etc. [Para A (7C, 7D) of Part A of Schedule III]	.482
	10.7.	Senior Management Personnel ('SMP')	.483
	10.8.	Regulatory actions/ orders [Para A (19), (20) of Part A of Schedule III]	.484
	10.9.	Other disclosure requirements	.485
	10.10). Events determined as Material under Para B	.486
Cha	pter 1	16 - Disclosure of Shareholders' Pacts	.489
Exe	cutive	Summary	.489
1.	Relev	vance of shareholder agreements and need for disclosures	.490
	1.1.	Requirements in global jurisdictions	.490
	1.1	1.1. UK	490
	1.1	1.2. Singapore	491
	1.1	1.3. NASDAQ Nordic	491
	1.1	1.4. South Africa	491
	1.2.	Requirement under SEBI LODR Regulations	491
	1.2	2.1. Scope of the agreements covered under Clause 5A	492
	1.2	2.2. Clause 5 viz-a-viz Clause 5A	.492
2.	Discl	losure Requirements under Clause 5A	493
	2.1.	Onus of making disclosure	493
	2.2.	Timelines	.493
	2.3.	Contents of disclosure	.494
	2.4.	Other disclosures	.495
	2.5.	Additional duty on the board of directors/AC	.495
3.	Agre	ements requiring disclosure	.496
	3.1.	Pledge agreement b/w promoter(s) / promoter group & bank	.496
	3.2.	Share Purchase Agreement by acquirer under the Takeover Code	496
	3.3.	Loan agreement	.497
	3.4.	Supply agreement	497
4.	Agre	ements not requiring disclosure	.497
	4.1.	Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)	.497
	4.2.	Will & family agreements	.498
	•	17 - Verification of Market Rumours	
Exe		Summary	
1.	Glob	al laws on response to market rumours	500

	1.1.	NYSE	501
	1.2.	NASDAQ	501
	1.3.	European Union	502
2.	Regu	latory provisions in India	502
	2.1.	Discussions in the Consultation Paper and SEBI Board note	503
3.	What	t constitutes a market rumour?	503
4.	Link	with material price movement	504
	4.1.	Determination of material price movement	504
5.	Deal	ing with market rumours	505
	5.1.	Knowledge of market rumour	505
	5.2.	Timing of response	505
	5.3.	Content of the response	505
	5.4.	Stages of impending material information as market rumour	506
	5.5.	Tests to check existence of rumour and requirement of appropriate response	507
	5.6.	Whom to respond	508
6.	Cont	rols to ensure non-leakage of UPSI	508
	6.1.	Avoiding Rumour	508
	6.2.	Integrity checks	508
Par	tG-E	Enterprise-wide Governance	509
Cha	apter	18 - Group Governance	511
Exe	cutive	Summary	511
1.	Need	for group governance	512
	1.1.	OECD Principles	512
	1.2.	LODR and UKC Report on CG	513
	1.2	2.1 Manner of determining 'large number' of unlisted subsidiaries	513
2.	Mear	ning of group	516
	2.1.	Holding and subsidiary company	516
	2.2.	Associate company	517
	2.3.	Promoter and Promoter Group	517
	2.4.	Group Company	518
3.	Exter	nt of mandatory group governance controls in India	518
	3.1.	Information to be placed before the board for noting/ approval	518
	3.2.	Information to be placed before the Audit Committee for approval	519
	3.3.	Disclosures to stock exchange	519
	3.4.	Disclosures in Annual Report	522
	3.5.	IPO, FPO, Rights issue, SME IPO of convertible debt instruments	522
	3.6.	Preferential issue by companies having stressed assets of convertible debt instruments	s.522

	3.7.	Disclosures in offer document/ letter of offer	
	3.8.	Public issue of debt securities and NCRPS	
	3.9.	Disclosure in NCS offer document	
4.	Hold	ing of promoter shares through investment companies	
	4.1.	Compliance costs of an NBFC tag	
	4.2.	Clog on pass-through of dividends	
	4.3.	Buyback option: a case of tax inefficiency and leverage restrictions	
	4.4.	Upstreaming of profits to shareholders - quest for other feasible options	
5.	Pledg	ge of shares by promoter entities	
	5.1.	Pressure at OpCo level	
	5.2.	Risks involved	
Ch	apter	19 - Extending governance controls over controlled entities	
Exe	cutive	Summary	
1.	Cont	rols relating to all subsidiaries	
	1.1.	Layers of subsidiaries	
	1.2.	RPTs undertaken by subsidiaries	
	1.2	2.1 Identification of RPs of subsidiaries	
	1.2	2.2 Significant RPTs by subsidiaries	
	1.2	2.3 Material RPTs undertaken by subsidiaries	
	1.2	2.4 Disclosure of RPTs by subsidiaries	
	1.2	2.5 Policy controls for RPTs of subsidiaries	
	1.3.	Disclosure of material events or information relating to subsidiary	
	1.3.1	. Acquisitions of/by subsidiaries	
	1.3.2	. Fraud or defaults by a subsidiary	
	1.3.3	. Action against the subsidiaries	
	1.3.4	. Pendency of litigations	
	1.3.5	. Closure of operation	
	1.3.6	. Agreements that are binding and not in normal course	
2.	Cont	rols relating to material subsidiaries	
	2.1	Shareholder's approval for disposal of shares	
	2.2	Shareholder's approval for sale, disposal and lease of assets	
	2.3	Secretarial Audit of material unlisted subsidiaries incorporated in India	
	2.4	Disclosure in the Annual Secretarial Compliance Report	
3.	Cont	rols relating to super material subsidiaries	
4.	Cont	rols relating to unlisted subsidiaries	
	4.1	Review of investments	
	4.2	Noting of minutes of board meetings	

	4.3	Review of significant transactions and arrangements	539
	4.3.1	Meaning of Transactions or Arrangements	540
	4.3.2	Assessment of Significance	541
	4.3.3	Basis for assessment - standalone or consolidated?	542
	4.3.4	Determination of significance: Transactions/Arrangements based on contract	543
	4.3.5	Decoding the meaning of 'management' and 'periodicity'	543
	Me	aning of management	543
	4.3.6	Periodicity of reporting	543
5.	Contr	ols relating to WOS	543
	5.1	Sale of undertaking received from listed entity outside Scheme of Arrangement	543
Part	H - S	ustainability and Social Responsibility	547
Cha	pter 2	0 - Corporate Responsibility towards Climate Change	549
Exe	cutive	Summary	549
1.	Clima	te change as an element of corporate governance	550
	1.1.	Climate Risks and impact on business	550
	1.1	.1. Climate change as business-induced risk	551
	1.1	.2. Climate change as a business risk	551
	1.2.	Duties of directors towards climate change	552
	1.2	.1. Role of risk oversight	553
	1.2	.2. Duty of care and loyalty	553
	1.3.	Liabilities of directors towards climate change	555
2.	Clima	te change litigation against corporations	555
	2.1.	Duty of parent for activities of group	555
	2.2.	Duty to cease contributing to climate change	556
	2.3.	Greenwashing of climate actions	557
	2.4.	Responsibility of financial institutions - "turning off" the taps	557
	2.5.	Duty of care and due diligence on directors	558
3.	Safeg	uards against potential climate change claims	558
Cha	pter 2	1 - Sustainability in Disclosures	561
Exe	cutive	Summary	561
1.	Princi	ples of sustainability related disclosures	562
	1.1.	Materiality of sustainability-related information	562
	1.2.	Consistency of disclosure framework with internationally recognised standards	563
	1.3.	Connectability with financial reporting and other information	563
	1.4.	Disclosure of sustainability-related goals and targets with reliable metrics	563
	1.5.	Annual assurance attestations of disclosures	564
	1.5	.1. Sustainability assurance standards	564

2.	Voluntary	Sustainability Reporting Standards	
	2.1. GF	AI Standards	
	2.2. SA	SB Standards	
	2.3. CI	DSB Framework	
	2.4. IR	Framework	
	2.5. TC	FD Recommendations	
	2.6. IFI	RS Sustainability Disclosure Standards	
3.	Mandator	ry sustainability reporting requirements	
	3.1. EU	J's CSRD and ESRS developed by EFRAG	
	3.2. ES	G reporting in UK	
	3.3. SE	C proposal for US	
	3.4. Inc	lian companies to report on Business Responsibility and Sustainability	
	3.4.1.	Suggested governance structure for BRSR implementation	
	3.4.2.	BRSR Core disclosures and assurance requirements	574
	3.5. Su	stainability reporting requirements from major stock exchanges	575
	3.5.1.	Hong Kong	575
	3.5.2.	Singapore	
	3.5.3.	Johannesburg	
	3.5.4.	Japan	576
4.	Benefits	of sustainability reporting	576
5.	Challeng	es and gaps in sustainability reporting	576
6.	Epilogue	: Is it all about responsibility reporting, or responsible behavior	577
Cha	apter 22 -	Sustainability in Finance	579
Exe	cutive Sur	nmary	
1.	Governar	nce structure in sustainable financing	
2.	Instrume	nts of sustainable finance	
	2.1. GS	S+ bonds or Thematic bonds	
	2.1.1.	Green bonds	
	2.1.2.	Social bonds	
	2.1.3.	Sustainability bonds	
	2.1.4.	Sustainability-linked bonds	
	2.1.5.	Transition bonds	
	2.1.6.	Blue bonds	
	2.2. ES	G-sensitive loans	
	2.3. Gr	een deposits	
	2.3.1.	Actionable under RBI Framework	
3.	Motivatio	on for raising finance through labelled instruments	

4.	Inves	tor's perspective on sustainable investments	591
Cha	pter 2	23 - Enforcing social responsibility by legal mandate	593
Exe	cutive	Summary	593
1.	Mand	lating CSR spending	594
2.	Globa	al Overview	594
	2.1.	Countries without a specific CSR spending mandate	595
	2.1	.1. Japan	595
	2.1	.2. Netherlands	595
	2.1	.3. Canada	595
	2.1	.4. USA	596
	2.1		596
	2.1	.6. China	596
	2.1	.7. European Union	596
	2.2.	Countries with a specific CSR spending mandate other than India	596
	2.1	.8. Mauritius	596
	2.1	.1. Indonesia	597
3.	Evolution of CSR provisions in India		597
	3.1.	11th five-year plan - the idea of 'inclusive growth'	597
	3.2.	Corporate Social Responsibility Voluntary Guidelines, 2009	597
	3.3.	National Voluntary Guidelines (NVGs) on Social, Environmental and Responsibilities of Business, 2011	
	3.4.	Companies Bill, 2011 - proposal to include CSR in law	598
	3.5.	Business Responsibility Reporting (BRR)	598
	3.6.	Inclusion of CSR in law - Section 135	598
	3.7.	Companies Amendment Bill, 2019	598
	3.8.	Companies Amendment Bill, 2020	599
	3.9.	Companies (CSR Policy) (Amendment) Rules, 2021	599
	3.10.	Companies (CSR Policy) (Amendment) Rules, 2022	599
	3.11.	Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR)	599
4.	Prese	nt requirement for CSR under Indian Law	599
	4.1.	Mandatory spending requirement	599
	4.2.	Computation of CSR budget and formulation of the Annual Action Plan	600
	4.3.	Ongoing project / Unspent CSR Account	
	4.4.	Treatment of excess CSR spending	
	4.5.	Surplus arising out of CSR activities	
	4.6.	Scope of CSR Expenditure	
		scope of cort Experiatione	
	4.7.	Computation of profits under Section 198	

	4.9.	Responsibilities and functions of the Board and Committee					
	4.10.	CSR Committee					
	4.11.	Disclosure requirements					
	4.12.	Penal provisions					
Part	t I - Go	overnance considerations in financial sector entities					
Cha	Chapter 24 - Corporate Governance for Banks						
Exe	cutive	Summary					
Intr	oductio	on					
1.	Need	for a stricter corporate governance framework for banks					
2.	Evolu						
	2.1.	United States of America - The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					
	2.2.	Europe: From Codes to Directives					
	2.3.	From Basel II to Basel III Norms					
3.	Evolu	ution of corporate governance for banking sector in India					
	3.1.	Narasimham Committee I (1991)					
	3.2.	Advisory Group on Corporate Governance (2002)					
	3.3.	Ganguly Committee (2002)					
	3.4.	P.J. Nayak Committee (2002)					
	3.5.	RBI's Internal Working Group on Corporate Governance (2020)					
4.	Corporate governance norms in banks						
	4.1.	Ownership structure					
	4.1	1.1. Process for obtaining RBI approval					
	4.1	1.2. Fit and Proper (F&P) Criteria					
	4.1	1.3. Due diligence and monitoring related requirements					
	4.1	1.4. Ceiling on shares or voting rights and lock-in requirements					
	4.1	1.5. Reporting Requirements					
	4.2.	Board composition					
	4.2	2.1. Appropriate blend of skills					
	4.2	2.2. Specialized knowledge required of directors					
	4.2	2.3. Qualifications of MD					
	4.2	2.4. Disqualifications of MD					
	4.2	2.5. Fit and proper criteria for directors					
	4.2	2.6. Prohibition on having common directors					
	4.2	2.7. Tenure of directors					
	4.2	2.8. Age of directors					
	4.3.	Chairperson of the board					
	4.4.	Meetings of board					

	4.5. Remu	uneration of directors	638		
	4.5.1.	Remuneration payable to executives	638		
	4.5.2.	Remuneration payable to NEDs	639		
	4.6. Com	mittees of board	639		
	4.6.1.	Role of AC	640		
	4.6.2.	Role of RMC	641		
	4.6.3.	Role of Nomination and Remuneration Committee (NRC)			
	4.6.4.	Fraud Monitoring Committee (FMC)			
	4.7. Senio	or Management Personnel			
	4.7.1.	Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the bank			
	4.7.2.	Chief Technology Officer (CTO) of the bank	643		
	4.7.3.	Chief Information Security Officer (CISO)			
	4.7.4.	Appointment of Chief of Internal Vigilance (CIV)			
	4.7.5.	Chief Risk Officer	644		
	4.7.6.	Chief Compliance Officer	644		
	4.7.7.	Roles and responsibilities of Senior Management w.r.t Business Continuity			
		ing (BCP)			
		diary Governance			
	4.8.1.	Formation of a subsidiary by a Banking company			
	4.8.2.	Investment in subsidiaries			
	4.8.3.	Relationship with Subsidiaries sponsored by banks			
	4.8.4.	Relationship with Subsidiaries involved in Para Banking activities			
5.	Restrictions on loans and advances				
		s and advances without prior approval of board			
	5.1.1.	'Substantial interest' vs 'Major shareholder'			
	5.1.2.	Deeming interest of relative			
6.	Appointmer	nt of Statutory Auditors	656		
Ch	apter 25 - Co	orporate Governance for NBFCs	667		
Ex	ecutive Summ	ary	667		
Int					
1.	Evolution of	f Corporate Governance in NBFCs			
		ification of NBFCs under SBR Framework			
2.	Corporate Governance under SBR Framework				
		nd Proper Condition for directors, and limit on directorships			
	2.2. Key r	nanagerial personnel	671		
		isition/transfer of control of NBFCs			
	2.4. Form	ation of Various Committees			
	2.5. Appo	intment of Chief Risk Officer			

	2.6. Remuneration to Directions, KMPs and SM	1Ps673		
	2.7. Compliance Framework			
	2.8. Loans and advances to Directors			
	2.9. Statutory Central Auditors/Statutory Audito	ors674		
	2.10. Reporting and disclosures			
	2.11. Internal Guidelines on Corporate Governar			
	2.12. Comparison of CG norms applicable to dif	ferent layers of NBFCs675		
3.	Enhanced Corporate Governance and Compliance	e Function for larger NBFCs676		
	3.1. Compliance Policy			
	3.2. Review of Compliance Risk			
	3.2.1. Annual Compliance Assessment			
	3.3. Role of Senior Management			
	3.4. Compliance Function			
	3.4.1. Can the compliance function be outsourced			
	3.4.2. Compliance Function Vs Compliance Depa	artment		
	3.5. Appointment and Functions of CCO			
	3.5.1. Role of CCO			
	3.5.2. Manning the Compliance Function	1		
	3.5.3. Fit and Proper Criteria of CCO			
	3.5.4. Who should the CCO report to?			
4.	Compliance Risk Assessment			
	4.1. Introduction			
	4.2. Compliance Risk Assessment Process			
	4.3. Compliance Risk Assessment Report			
	5. Compensation to KMPs and SMPs			
	5.1. Applicability			
	5.2. Nomination and Remuneration Committee	(NRC)		
	5.3. Principles for Compensation			
	5.4. Guaranteed Bonus			
	5.5. Malus and Clawback			
6.	. Lending Restrictions on NBFCs			
	6.1. NBFCs placed on similar footing with Ban	ks696		
	6.2. What kinds of facilities are covered?			
	6.3. Exclusion List			
	6.4. What all are the regulatory restrictions on l	oans and advances in case of NBFCs?697		
	6.5. Meaning of terminology used in above figu	ire		
	6.6. Loans prior to appointment as director/seni	or officer698		

6.7.	Restrictions for NBFC-UL and NBFC-ML	698
6.8.	Real Estate Exposure	699
6.9.	Policy on loans to directors and Senior Officers	699
6.10.	Declaration by borrower	699

List of Abbreviations

the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988
Companies (Amendment) Act, 2000
Annual Action Plan
Audit Committee
Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014
Accounting and Corporate Regulatory Authorit
Companies Act, 1956
Companies (Audit and Auditors) Rules, 2014)
Australian Financial Services
Annual General Meeting
Artificial Intelligence
Alternative Investment Funds
Asset Management Companies- Mutual Fund
Articles of Association
Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014
Companies (Appointment and Qualification of Directors) Rules, 2014
Annual Secretarial Compliance Report
Australian Securities and Investments Commission
Australian Stock Exchange
ASX Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations
Board of Directors
Banking Regulation Act, 1949
Business Responsibility Statement
Business Responsibility and Sustainability Statement
Bombay Stock Exchange

C&AG	Comptroller and Auditor-General of India
CA / Act 2013	Companies Act, 2013
CAA 2017	Companies Amendment Act 2017
CAA 2019	Companies (Amendment) Act, 2019
CAA 2020	Companies (Amendment) Act, 2020
CARO	Companies (Auditor's Report) Order
CBI	Central Bureau of Investigation
ССО	Chief Compliance Officer
CDSB	Climate Disclosure Standards Board
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CG	Corporate Governance
UPSI	Unpublished Price Sensitive Information
CIC	Core Investment Companies
CII	Confederation of Indian Industries
Circular on Resignation of Auditors	SEBI circular on Resignation of statutory auditors from listed entities and their material subsidiaries dated October 18, 2019
CIRO	Chief Investor Relations Officer
Clause 5	Clause 5 of Para A Part A of Schedule III
Clause 5A	Clause 5A of Para A Part A of Schedule III
CLC Report, 2022	Company Law Committee Report, 2022
CLC, 2016	Company Law Committee, 2016
Compensation Guidelines	Guidelines on Compensation of Key Managerial Personnel (KMP) and Senior Management in NBFCs
Compliance Circular/Framework	Compliance Function and Role of Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) - NBFCs dated April 11, 2022
Consultation Paper on Resignation of Auditors	Consultation Paper on policy proposals with respect to resignation of statutory auditors from listed entities dated July 18, 2019
СОО	Chief Operational Officer

Committee of Sponsoring Organisations
Companies (Cost Record and Audit) Rules, 2014
Connected Person
Central Public Sector Entities
Companies (Restriction on number of layers) Rules, 2017
Chief Risk Officer
Company Secretary
Corporate Social Responsibility
Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014
Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive
Companies (Creation and Maintenance of Databank of Independent Directors) Rules, 2019
Companies (Specifications of Definitions Details) Rules, 2014
SEBI (Delisting of Equity Shares) Regulations, 2021
Depositories Act, 1996
Director Identification Number
Companies (Appointment and Qualification of Directors) Rules, 2014
Designated Person
Directors Responsibility Statement
Executive Directors
Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization
European Financial Reporting Advisory Group
Expected Impact in terms of Value
Extra ordinary general meeting
Environmental, Social and Governance
Employee Stock Option Plans
European Sustainability Reporting Standards

EU	European Union
FCA	Financial Conduct Authority
FPO	Further Public Offer
FRC	Financial Reporting Council
FRC Guidance	Guidance on Board Effectiveness by FRC
FY	Financial Year
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
GRI	Global Reporting Initiative
Guidelines	Loans and Advances – Regulatory Restrictions – NBFCs
HFCs	Housing Finance Companies
HoldCo	Holding Company
HR Policy	Human Resource Policy
HUF	Hindu Undivided Family
HVDLE	High Value Debt Listed Entity
ICDR	SEBI (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2018
ICGN	International Corporate Governance Network
ID	Independent Director
IDF- NBFC	Infrastructure Debt Fund- NBFCs
IEPF	Investor Education and Protection Fund
IFC	Internal Financial Control
IICA	Indian Institute of Corporate Affairs
ILNCS	SEBI (Issue and Listing of Non-Convertible Securities) Regulations, 2021
IND AS/ IndAS	Indian Accounting Standards
IOSCO	The International Organization of Securities Commissions
IPC	Indian Penal Code

IPO	Initial Public Offer
IRDAI	Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India
IT Act	Income Tax Act
IT	Information Technology
ITAT	Income Tax Appellate Tribunal
JV	Joint Venture
КМР	Key Managerial Personnel
KPIs	Key Performance Indicators
Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee	Committee Appointed by the SEBI on Corporate Governance under the Chairmanship of Shri Kumar Mangalam Birla
КҮС	Know Your Customer
LAS	Loan Against Securities
LE	Listed Entity
LLP	Limited Liability Partnership
LoC	Letter of Credit
LODR / Listing Regulations	SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015
LODR Master Circular	Master circular for compliance with the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 by listed entities
LTV	Loan to Value
MAP	Monitorable Action Plan
MAR	Market Abuse Regulation
MAS	Monetary Authority of Singapore
MBP Rules	Companies (Meetings of Board and its Powers) Rules, 2015
МСА	Ministry of Corporate Affairs
MD	Managing Director
MoM	Majority of Minority
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding

	Companies (Appointment and Remuneration of Managerial Personnel)
MR Rules	Rules, 2014
NASDAQ Rules	NASDAQ Stock Market LLC Rules
NBFC-BL	NBFC-Base Layer
NBFC-ICC	NBFC – Investment and Credit Company
NBFC-IFC	NBFC-Infrastructure Finance Company
NBFC-MFI	NBFC- Microfinance Institution
NBFC-ML	NBFC-Middle Layer
NBFC-UL	NBFC-Upper Layer
NBFCs	Non-banking Financial Companies
NC HLC Report	Report of the High Level Committee on Corporate Audit and Governance chaired by Mr. Naresh Chandra
NCD	Non-convertible Debentures
NCLAT	National Company Law Appellate Tribunal
NCLT	National Company Law Tribunal
NCRPS	Non- Convertible Redeemable Preference Shares
NCS	Non-Convertible Securities
NDA	Non Disclosure Agreement
NDI Rules, 2019	FEMA (Non-debt Instruments) Rules, 2019
NED	Non Executive Directors
NFCG	National Foundation for Corporate Governance
NFRA	National Financial Reporting Authority
NFRA Rules	National Financial Reporting Authority Rules, 2018
NPO	Non-profit Organization
NRC	Nomination and Remuneration Committee
NSE	National Stock Exchange
NVGs	National Voluntary Guidelines
NYSE	New York Stock Exchange

NZX Code	NZX Corporate Governance Code
OA	Omnibus Approval
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OECD CG Factbook	OECD Corporate Governance Factbook, 2023
OECD Principles	G20/ OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, 2023
OIP	Office of Informant Protection
OpCos	Operating Companies
P&E	Purpose and Effect
P&L	Profit & Loss
РВТ	Profit Before Tax
PCS	Practising Company Secretary
PFIs	Public Financial Institutions
PFRDA	Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority
PG	Promoter Group
PIT Regulations	SEBI (Prohibition of Insider Trading) Regulations, 2015
R&D	Research and Development
RBI	Reserve Bank of India
RD	Regional Director
Report of the Expert Committee	Report of the Expert Committee on Company Law, 2005 chaired by Dr. JJ Irani
Report of the Standing Committee	21st Report of the Standing Committee on Finance on the Companies Bill, 2009.
RMC	Risk Management Committee
RMP	Risk Mitigation Plan
RoC	Registrar of Companies
RP	Related Party
RPT	Related Party Transaction
RTA	Registrar & Share Transfer Agent

SA	Standards on Auditing
SASB	Sustainability Accounting Standards Board
SAST	Securities and Exchange Board of India (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011
SBO	Significant Beneficial Ownership
SBR Circular	Scale Based Circular: A Revised Regulatory Framework for NBFCs
SBR Framework	Collectively for SBR Circular and SBR Master Directions
SBR Master Directions	Master Direction – Reserve Bank of India (Non-Banking Financial Company– Scale Based Regulation) Directions, 2023
SCRA, 1956	Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956
SDD	Structured Digital Database
SEBI	Securities Exchange Board of India
SEBI BM Agenda for Reg. 30	Amendments to requirements for disclosure of material events or information by listed entities under LODR enclosed as agenda for SEBI BM dated March 29, 2023
SEBI Circular on Reg. 30	SEBI Circular on Disclosure of material events / information by listed entities under Regulations 30 and 30A of LODR
SEBI Scheme Circular	Master Circular on Scheme of Arrangement by Listed Entities
SEBI Stewardship Code	Stewardship code for Mutual Funds and all categories of AIFs, in relation to their investment in listed equities
SEC	Securities Exchange Commission
SEC Act	Securities Exchange and Commission Act
SGX	Singapore Stock Exchange
SHA	Shareholders' Agreement
Singapore Code	Code of Corporate Governance of Singapore
SME IPO	Initial Public Offer by Small and Medium Enterprise
SMP	Senior Management Personnel
SoA	Scheme of Arrangement
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures

SOX	Sarbanes Oxley Act
SOX	Sarbanes-Oxley Act
SPAs	Share Purchase Agreements
SR Equity Shares	Special Rights Equity Shares
SRC	Stakeholders Relationship Committee
SS 1	Secretarial Standards 1
SS 2	Secretarial Standards 2
TCFD	Task Force on Financial Disclosures
ToR	Terms of Reference
UK Act	Companies Act 2006 of UK
UK Code	UK Corporate Governance Code 2018
UKC Report on CG	Uday Kotak Committee's report on Corporate Governance
UPSI	Unpublished Price Sensitive Information
WOS	Wholly Owned Subsidiary
WTD	Whole-time Director
XBRL	eXtensible Business Reporting Language

Part A – Miles Travelled, Miles to Go

"To improve is to change; to be perfect is to change often." — Winston Churchill

This quote by Winston Churchill holds immense relevance in the evolution of corporate governance from its early mentions, introduction as a voluntary code, to its wider acceptance and regulatory backing, to the shape it has taken today. The concept of corporate governance is said to have been academically discussed way back in the 1970s, and practiced by some publicly traded companies; however, clearer signs of development were not witnessed until late 1990s. It is currently over 40 years old.

Much of the evolution of corporate governance emanates from the corporate scandals, compelling regulators to re-think the controls and plug the loopholes that caused break-out of the scandals resulting in loss of public money and public trust on law and accounting. In addition, the dynamic business environment and the need to adapt to the same makes it essential for the regulators to align rulebooks to the needs of the changing environment.

Corporate governance frameworks are generally embedded in the company law. However, the more common way to introduce corporate governance has been through manuals and rulebooks of stock exchanges. This is explained by the fact that in addition to basic principles of fiduciary duties or rules applicable to all companies (which are enforced through corporate laws), the additional considerations for effective governance, transparency. etc. are relevant for listed and traded entities. It is the listing manuals of leading global exchanges which implement corporate governance codes. Back home, corporate governance was, for many years, hosted in the listing agreement, before it was imposed as a part of regulations by the LODR Regulations.

Read on to find out more about the history of "corporate governance", how far has it come from its point of origin in India, and the role of various events in shaping the corporate governance framework in India the way it appears as on date.

Will there ever be a point of arrival for corporate governance? The answer is obviously in the negative. In fact, it is quite natural that as business realities change fast enough, corporate governance will constantly require to be shaped to fit.

Chapter 1 - Corporate Governance: Miles Travelled

Executive Summary

This Chapter discusses the evolution of corporate governance against the backdrop of global economic changes and the rise of large corporations. It emphasizes the reactive nature of corporate governance norms, shaped by major scandals such as Enron and the Global Financial Crisis.

The Chapter addresses the year 1988 as the phase of "glaani" that resulted in SEBI being formed as a non-statutory body and the first statutory exclusive recognition to practicing company secretaries. The economic liberalization in 1991 is identified as a turning point, bringing about regulatory and taxation changes. [Para 1]

In 1992, SEBI was granted statutory recognition following the Narasimham Committee's recommendations, and Insider Trading Regulations were notified. [Para 2]

1996 marked the advent of dematerialization, facilitated by the Depositories Act. [Para 3]

The first institutional initiative of codifying corporate governance norms was done in 1998 by CII. [Para 4] These norms were later incorporated into Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement pursuant to Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee Report. Significant developments were also notified in the Companies Act, 1956. [Para 5]

Between 2005 and 2008, MCA launched the MCA21 e-governance initiative, aiming to digitize and streamline services related to company filing and registration. The requirement of DIN was introduced to prevent fraud and identity forgery in director appointments. Statutory recognition was granted to LLPs in India. [Para 6]

Between 2009 and 2012, significant changes in India's corporate governance landscape resulted in inclusion of "sustainability" in corporate governance norms, although in the form of voluntary guidelines. [Para 7]

Between 2013 and 2015, the most significant changes included replacement of CA, 1956 by CA,2013 and the Listing Agreement by LODR Regulations, including revision in PIT Regulations by repealing 1992 Regulations with 2015 Regulations. [Para 8]

The corporate governance landscape has, since then, further moved towards decriminalisation of offences, introduction of NFRA, stewardship codes for institutional investors etc. [Para 9].

The years ranging between 2021 and 2023 has witnessed significant regulatory developments, especially for listed entities – with a revised regulatory framework for related party transactions, extension of corporate governance norms to high value debt listed entities, revised thresholds for material disclosures etc. [Para 10]

Introduction

Before we talk about the evolution of corporate governance (CG), it is important to understand what are the factors that lead to its evolution over the years.

The working of our economic system – capital markets, mass production, global markets access and economies of scale and scope - all taken together have promoted large enterprises. With the collapse of socialism and the debacle of state-run enterprises, most economic activity, even public utilities in several countries, are now run by corporations. The modern corporation has presence across the world in every sphere – it has employees and offices in dozens of countries, sources materials across continents, supplies its products to governments and enterprises world over, outsources services to farflung countries, and so on. It has shareholders scattered across the world. More so, it has major money from institutional investors, which in turn have accumulated savings of common people all over the world. In short, today's multinational enterprise decides the destiny of millions in many countries¹. Every large corporate scandal in the world's history bears testimony to the power these corporations yield, including the power to shake economies across the globe. The Enron scandal of 2001, for instance, is regarded as one of the largest bankruptcies in the history of the U.S. which caused investors to lose trust in the US capital markets and prompted enforcement of stringent reforms for listed companies including their management and auditors². Then, the ill-famed Global Financial Crisis (GFC) (2007-08) had a lasting impact on economic growth³. Closer home, the stock market manipulation scam of Harshad Mehta (1992) left the stock market and investors crippling, and then the Satvam fiasco (2009), one of the then renowned names in IT industry, besides jolting the Indian Inc., also tarnished the image of the Indian IT industry in the global IT market. And talking about dupes, how can anyone not recall the Sahara scam (2008-2011)? There are innumerable similar examples.

Corporate governance norms are substantially reactive - every major crisis has a regulatory lesson to teach, which finds its way into corporate governance norms. Almost every major corporate scandal has led to fresh thinking on corporate governance practices. The Enron debacle eventually led to the enactment of Sarbanes Oxley Act (popularly called SOX). After the GFC, Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was introduced. In India, the securities scam led to the emergence of SEBI (which was established in 1988 as a replacement for the Controller of Capital Issues) as a statutory regulator. Similarly, the Satyam and Sahara scam and similar other incidents were in the background of several provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

Since then, corporate governance has travelled miles (and still continues its journey). Reasons are obvious:

- *First*, corporations, as we have discussed above, have become immensely powerful in an everintegrated and networked economy; they impact common man's lives and not just the resources of their shareholders and stakeholders. As such, there has to be a balancing mechanism which ensures that the corporations use the power they have with due care and responsibility. Corporate governance is just another name for that balancing mechanism.
- *Second*, history repeats itself. Despite ever evolving and maturing regulatory and supervisory oversight, the precedents of misuse of managerial power, conflicts of interest, opacity in reporting, lack of balance in meeting diverse stakeholder needs, continue to come to limelight. Changing times have seen innovative means of perpetuating corporate misconduct; and therefore, corporate governance also needs to be dynamic and ready to deal with such situations.
- *Thirdly*, for specialised sectors which have systemic sensitivities, such as the financial sector, the corporate governance norms need to be customised to address the needs and risks of the sector. While a manufacturing company going bust might affect several investors, employees,

¹ See also, <u>Corporate Governance - Global trends and national moves</u> by Mr. Vinod Kothari

² See an article in TIME, <u>here</u>: The bankruptcies following the Global Financial Crisis were in fact several times larger - say Lehman or Washington Mutual. But Enron gets the distinction of being a reporting-related failure, whereas the GFC was a business model case.

³ See the working paper published by International Monetary Fund <u>Lessons and Policy Implications from the</u> <u>Global Financial Crisis</u>

consumers, etc.; a bank going out-of-money can cause severe handicap in the financial, payment and settlement systems.

Further, as we progress with the discussion on the evolution of CG, we realise that initially, CG was more a product of separation between ownership and management in a corporate entity and thus, the focus was only on shareholder interests. However, with evolving times, the interlinkages between corporate conduct and various other stakeholders, including the environment, were recognised. We have now come to a phase, where the air-conditioned boardrooms are witnessing discussions on carbon footprints and ways to reduce them; whereas the institutional investors are looking beyond the promoters and credit scores of the corporates; and where the regulators are pushing compliances not through the stick of penalties and punishments but through the subtle dose of disclosures.

This Chapter is an attempt to provide a narrative account of major milestones of corporate governance in the Indian landscape over a span of the last 35 years. Of course, we will want to end the chapter with what is the future of corporate governance.

1. 1988-1991: The *glaani* phase

Lord Krishna says that he incarnates himself in situations of abject decline in righteousness and there is rise of evil. *Glaani* may loosely be translated as substantial damage. 1988 is precisely that phase. Corporate governance wasn't heard anywhere; instead, companies were run by promoters for self-interest, stock market manipulation was easy and common, institutional investors could be used to serve vested interests, and there was no securities market regulator at all. Macroeconomic situation was getting precarious with global geopolitical disturbances, the fall of Communism, etc.

With the beginning of economic liberalisation and the Perestroika of tax and economic reforms, the stock markets started reaching new highs. The situation was absolutely conducive for the rise of Harshad Mehta, giving assurance that the stock market could turn people into overnight millionaires. Sensex gave a 1-year return of 49.5% in 1991 and a whopping 267% in 1992. And then the scam happened, with the next year's returns a negative 47%.

However, as Lord Krishna says, in this period of *glaani* of corporate responsibility and stakeholder interest protection, the institutions of governance, which would change the course of governance of the years to come, would take birth.

Two important institutions took birth in 1988. SEBI made its appearance as a non-statutory body, and the profession of practising company secretaries was born with the first exclusive statutory exclusive recognition.

1.1. Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988

One of the first substantive steps towards the footing of CG in India was <u>the Companies (Amendment)</u> <u>Act, 1988</u> ('1988 Amendment') which sowed the first shoots of the profession of company secretaries in practice, by creating the first exclusive area of practice - certification of annual return. If practising company secretaries have later become important agents in providing assurance and advisory support for corporate governance, this was the beginning.

1.2. Setting up of SEBI

The year 1988 also saw the establishment of SEBI, *albeit* as a non-statutory body, to replace the then Controller of Capital Issues under the Capital Issues (Control) Act.

1.3. Economic liberalization; the beginning of the end of government controls over business

The process of liberalization, privatization, and globalization was introduced in 1991. This was spearheaded by a New Economic Policy, which changed the direction of the policies of the socialistic pattern of society that the country was so far headed for. The year marked the beginning of a series of changes, both in regulations and taxation.

2. 1992: Strengthening of the securities market regulator and insider trading regulations

2.1. Statutory recognition to SEBI

While SEBI was so far existing as a non-statutory body, <u>Narasimham Committee</u>'s recommendations included granting of a statutory recognition to SEBI, and the formation of mutual funds for institutionalisation of savings. This was soon followed by enactment of the SEBI Act, 1992. SEBI was entrusted with the task of protecting the interests of investors in securities and promoting the development of, and regulating, the securities market in India.

2.2. Prohibition of insider trading

One of the first regulations to be brought about by SEBI was the SEBI (Prohibition of Insider Trading) Regulations, 1992. Insider trading was a malaise widely prevalent in Indian markets. Regulations for stock brokers and merchant bankers were also brought in the same year.

3. 1996: Securities go paperless: The beginning of dematerialisation

Corporate professionals of today would scarcely believe that the major time of a company secretary of a large company would go in endorsing share transfers at the back of share certificates. In fact, the concept of "book closure" before an AGM or dividend declaration belongs to that period, because the secretarial team would spend a few days to complete all pending transfers, and in the meantime, would therefore need to close the receiving of new transfer requests⁴.

This would soon become history, as the process of dematerialisation, already prevalent in the USA, was pushed with the enactment of the Depositories Act, 1996 (**'Depositories Act'**). Thereafter, SEBI introduced SEBI (Depositories and Participants) Regulations, 1996⁵ providing registration of depositories and depository participants.

The Depositories Act made certain important amendments to the Act 1956 - free transferability of shares and debentures (except in case of private companies) was explicitly coded in the law and provisions were inserted to facilitate appeals in case of refusal to register transfer of shares without sufficient cause.

Dematerialisation of shares allowed easy monitoring of securities thereby reducing fraud and discrepancies faced in physical certificates. Streamlining of payment of dividends, transfer and voting, increased the shareholders' participation in the decision-making process of the companies, thereby leading to more transparency. With real-time access to trades and shareholding patterns of the Companies, SEBI was able to monitor and regulate market trades.

The process that started with listed companies has subsequently been extended to unlisted public companies (2018) and recently, to private companies (other than small companies) (October 2023). Read an <u>article</u> or our detailed <u>FAQs</u> on the said amendments and listen to our <u>youtube lecture</u>.

4. 1998: Codifying corporate governance

The first institutional initiative for codifying corporate governance was taken by the Confederation of India Industries (CII) in 1998. The Code, for the first time, introduced the concept of independent directors (IDs) for listed companies and compensation paid to them. Other major recommendations involved the presence of non-executive directors on the board, key information to be placed before the board, constitution of the audit committee, compliance certificate by the CFO & CEO.

⁴ Vinod Kothari, as a practising company secretary in 1989, recalls that when the first annual return of ITC Limited was to be certified, some 21 big books containing transfer records, computer-printed on the-then dot-matrix printer with 26-inch width, were transported to his office, along with huge arch files containing the transfer deeds. Since he focused only on the first month and the last month of the period for test checking, he said he went through several thousands of physical transfer deeds. A major observation was that the stamps put at the back of the transfer deeds were not cancelled.

⁵ Now, SEBI (Depositories and Participants) Regulations, 2018

The Code introduced by CII was the first and probably unique instance where an industry association took the lead in prescribing corporate governance standards for listed companies in the form of voluntary recommendations. The final code named **Desirable Corporate Governance: A Code** was published in April 1998.

It is worth noting that most of the CII Code were subsequently incorporated in SEBI's Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee Report and thereafter in Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement (<u>see below</u>).

5. 1999-2004: SEBI's corporate governance regulations; amendments in Companies Act, 1956

5.1. Clause 49 of Listing Agreement

After CII introduced voluntary guidelines on corporate governance, it was felt that under Indian conditions a statutory rather than a voluntary code would be far more purposive and meaningful, at least for essential features of corporate governance. The issue of CG for listed companies came into prominence with the report of the Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee.

SEBI appointed the said Committee in 1999 with the primary objective to view CG from the perspective of the investors and shareholders and to prepare a code to suit the Indian corporate environment.

Recommendations of the said Committee served as a foundation for subsequent changes in regulations, majorly Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement issued by SEBI, which primarily focused on corporate governance for listed companies. Clause 49 served as a footprint for the present LODR, as LODR amalgamated various clauses and regulations into a unified framework on the basis of norms outlined in Clause 49 and expanded upon them - setting higher standards for corporate governance.

Notably, Clause 49 for the first time introduced the concept of an Audit Committee in India which was later on adopted in the Act 1956 in the year 2002 *vide* Companies (Amendment) Act, 2000 (Section 292A of the Act 1956).

Later, in the year 2004, Clause 49 was <u>amended</u> on the basis of recommendations on <u>Narayana Murthy</u> <u>Committee</u> with respect to provisions relating to definition of independent directors, strengthening the responsibilities of audit committees, improving quality of financial disclosures, including those related to related party transactions and proceeds from public/rights/preferential issues, requiring Boards to adopt formal code of conduct, requiring CEO/CFO certification of financial statements and for improving disclosures to shareholders. Certain non-mandatory clauses like whistle blower policy and restriction of the term of independent directors were also included.

5.2. IEPF, postal ballot, director responsibility statement, certification by practicing CS, etc.

The <u>amendment</u> in 2019 introduced various important provisions in the Act 1956, e.g. investor education and protection fund, setting up of National Advisory Committee of Accounting Standards and mandatory compliance of Accounting Standards, etc.

Along with the introduction of the concept of audit committee under the Act 1956 (section 292A), the <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2000</u> ('the 2000 Amendment') also brought other significant amendments for the first time to provide certain measures of good corporate governance and meaningful shareholders' democracy which are present till date, such as mandatory passing of resolutions by way of postal ballot for specified matters (section 192A of the Act 1956), inclusion of Director Responsibility Statement in annual report, appointment of small shareholders' director. The 2000 Amendment also brought in provisions relating to the appointment of debenture trustees and debenture trust deeds. Notably, the 2000 Amendment also introduced the concept of a compliance certificate from a practicing company secretary (Section 383A) which later evolved as secretarial audit under the Act 2013.

5.3. Introduction of provisions relating to NCLT

The Companies (Amendment) Act, 2002 called for establishing the <u>National Company Law Tribunal</u> (<u>NCLT</u>) for substitution of the then Company Law Board based on Eradi Committee recommendations.

The provisions of the Companies (Second Amendment) Act, 2002 could not be notified due to nonestablishment of the NCLT pursuant to petition filed before the Madras High Court⁶. Finally, the provisions relating to NCLT and its functioning were notified later in the year 2016.

5.4. Other important developments

This era also witnessed the recommendations of several committee and amendments, such as the:

- Task Force on Corporate Excellence through Governance Report: A committee was formed under the chairmanship of Sanjeev Reddy, Secretary of DCA, with an aim to examine the entire gamut of the Act 1956, and suggest necessary amendments to modernize and update the law in line with contemporary requirements. Pursuant to their recommendations National Foundation for Corporate Governance (NFCG) was set up in 2003 as as a non-governmental body to promote corporate governance in India.
- Naresh Chandra Committee of Corporate Audit and Governance: In light of the various scandals such as Enron and after the enactment of SOX, the <u>Naresh Chandra Committee</u> gave recommendations on corporate governance based on SOX. The committee majorly emphasized that the definition of IDs is required to be specified in a precise manner and gave the definition of IDs, which was later incorporated in Companies Act, 1956. Other recommendations of this committee were later incorporated and introduced under the Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2003 (which could not be passed by the Parliament).
- **Ganguly Committee:** The recommendations of <u>Ganguly committee</u> set up by RBI emphasized that the boards of banks should have a blend of a 'historical skills' set (regulation-based representation) and a 'new skills' set (need-based representation), based on which RBI issued detailed guidelines on the responsibilities of directors, including independent and non-executive directors, committees of the board, the composition of the board, and fit and proper criteria for directors in banks..
- J.J. Irani Committee on Company Law: The significant recommendations of <u>J.J. Irani</u> <u>Committee</u> such as class action suits, mandatory rotation of auditors, cross border mergers, etc, presently form part of the regulatory regime in India.

To respond to corporate governance developments across the globe and in the light of various corporate scandals, <u>OECD Principles on Corporate Governance</u> were also revised in 2004. Among other updates, major revisions were introduced in the role of institutional investors, protection of minority shareholders, free communication with stakeholders, disclosure of key information of board including remuneration, report of independent analysts and agencies, etc.

6. 2005-2008: E-governance initiative, introduction of DIN and LLPs

6.1. MCA21 - the e-governance initiative of MCA

MCA <u>launched</u> an e-governance project titled 'MCA21', where various e-services relating to company filing, registration, inspection of documents, etc. were introduced. The MCA21 project intended to empower the stakeholders to access information on companies (G2C services), and to facilitate interactions and transactions between business and government (B2G and G2B services)⁷.

6.2. Director identification number

In line with the above, the <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2006</u> introduced allotment of unique DIN to any person intending to appoint or is appointed as a director in a company. While the Act 1956 allowed an individual to hold directorships in 15 companies at the same time, there was a possibility of fraud and forged identity by the individuals. Allotment of and tracking by DIN helped to untangle the identification process of the directors.

⁶ See a <u>detailed presentation</u>

⁷ See Chapter VII of <u>Annual Report (2007-2008</u>) of MCA

6.3. Introduction of LLPs

Another significant step during this period was the introduction of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008, which gave legal standing to the concept of LLPs in India.

Later, as LLPs became a hybrid form of entity with lesser regulatory burden compared to a company, the conversion of companies into LLPs was being sought as a means of regulatory arbitrage. Given the prevailing situation, the attention of the regulatory authorities shifted towards the LLPs. Hence, with an intent to monitor the activities of LLPs, MCA, in 2022, *vide* <u>Amendment Notification</u> specified certain sections of the Companies Act, 2013 as applicable on LLPs as well. These included some very significant provisions like identification of Significant Beneficial Ownership (SBO), application of the criteria for disqualification, capping on the maximum number of partners/ DPs, etc⁸. The amendments resulted in an increased level of supervision and control on the working and management of the LLPs. Overtime, the integration of various provisions of the Act with the LLPs indicates an era of LLPs becoming similar with companies. As on 30th November 2023, a total number of 3,06,899 LLPs were active in the country with an increase of new 14,178 Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPs) during the quarter Sept' 2023 to Nov' 2023 alone⁹.

7. 2009-2012: MPS, sustainability, and voluntary corporate governance

7.1. Revised limits of minimum public shareholding (MPS)

Amendment in <u>Securities Contract (Regulation) Rules, 1957</u> in 2010 made a significant change by setting minimum public shareholding to 25%. The aim was to broaden the shareholder base for public sector undertakings. In addition, this contributed to the improvement of corporate governance in a significant percentage of listed companies.

7.2. Embracing sustainability into corporate governance norms

In 2011, MCA issued '<u>National Voluntary Guidelines on Social, Environmental and Economical Responsibilities of Business</u>' which laid down the comprehensive principles to be adopted by companies as part of their business practices and a structured business responsibility reporting format requiring certain specified disclosures. In line with MCA Guidelines, SEBI decided to mandate the inclusion of Business Responsibility Reports ("BRR") as part of the annual report, urging the listed entities to adopt responsible business practices. BRR later became "Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report" (BRSR)¹⁰ and presently forms part of LODR. Although based on the same principles, BRSR is much more comprehensive as compared to its former counterpart. Further, it is notable that as one of the universally accepted sustainability standards, <u>Global Reporting Initiative Standards</u> can be closely referred to for the enhanced requirements under BRSR and therefore, serve as a guide for reporting under BRSR.¹¹ Elaborate discussion on the same may be referred to in *Chapter - 21*.

7.3. Various guidelines on corporate governance

With initiatives being taken all around the world as well as in India, several regulators, agencies and other institutions came up with best practices on CG, which are briefly mentioned below:

- Guidelines on Corporate Governance for Central Public Sector Enterprises: Issued by Department of Public Enterprises., these guidelines were formulated with the objective that central public sector enterprises (CPSEs) follow the CG guidelines in their functioning, voluntarily. The guidelines were categorized into two groups, for listed and unlisted companies, majorly covering board and board committees-related provisions, code of conduct for board

⁸ See a detailed <u>presentation</u> here.

⁹ MCA monthly information bulletin as on November, 2023

¹⁰ To see additionalities involved in BRR moving to BRSR, refer to our article <u>Cartload of details in BRSR</u> by Ms. Pammy Jaiswal and Ms. Megha Saraf

¹¹ Excerpts taken from <u>Getting ready to implement BRSR from FY 2022-23 (Part-I)</u> by Team VKCo

and senior management, risk management, compliances related to subsidiary companies, disclosure to be made board and committees, report on corporate governance, etc.

- **NASSCOM Guidelines**: Other <u>Voluntary Guidelines</u> were introduced by NASSCOM for the companies in IT-BPO sector, to be followed in addition to the compliance under Clause 49. These guidelines also highlighted the key elements of whistle-blower policy covering important terms, reporting structure, manner of investigation, remedies, etc.
- CII's Task Force on Corporate Governance: A task force set up by CII enumerated a set of voluntary recommendations <u>CII</u> Corporate Governance Recommendations for Voluntary <u>Adoption</u> to establish higher standards of probity and corporate governance in the country. The recommendations were majorly focused on listed companies and wholly owned subsidiaries of listed companies. A total of 25 recommendations were made by this committee revolving around the Board and its committees, RPTs, the auditor's independence and rotation, related party transactions, provisions related to auditors, whistle-blower policy, risk management, role of institutional investors, etc.
- Corporate Governance Voluntary Guidelines: Later, on the basis of CII Corporate Governance Recommendations for Voluntary Adoption and <u>ICSI Recommendations to Strengthen Corporate Governance Framework</u>, MCA also introduced <u>Corporate Governance Voluntary Guidelines 2009</u> to provide for a set of good practices which may be voluntarily adopted by the public companies. Private companies, particularly the bigger ones, may also adopt these guidelines. The Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2011 was passed based on recommendations of Dr J.J. Irani Committee on Company Law as well as these Voluntary Guidelines of MCA.
- **Policy Document on Corporate Governance:** A committee constituted by MCA issued <u>Guiding Principles of Corporate Governance</u> (Guiding Principles) to advocate some practical suggestions on strengthening the actual performance of Indian corporate governance within the existing legal framework. The committee introduced 17 Guiding Principles, including aspects such as board composition, training and education of directors, board evaluation, succession planning, risk management, whistleblower, etc.

8. 2013-2015: Birth of new Company law and Listing Regulations

8.1. Companies Act, 2013 and rules

The Companies Act, 2013 was enacted on 29th August, 2013 replacing the Act 1956, on the basis of recommendations of J.J. Irani committee and envisaged radical changes in the sphere of CG in India. Several new concepts such as definition of key managerial persons (KMP), code of independent directors, appointment of women director, establishment of vigil mechanism, constitution of stakeholders' relationship committee, codification of duties of directors, etc. Thereafter, various Companies Rules were introduced in 2014 pursuant to the Companies Act, 2013.

Also, as recommended by the Eradi Committee and initially enabled in the year 2002 in the Companies Act, 1956 (see above), NCLT was later constituted under Section 408 of the Companies Act, 2013. National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) was also constituted along with the NCLT. With the setting up of NCLT, the <u>National Company Law Tribunal Rules</u>, 2016 were also introduced.¹²

Later, the Companies (Amendment) Act, 2015 was passed. This amendment brought forward new concepts such reporting of fraud by auditors to the audit committee under Section 143, omnibus approval by the audit committee for RPTs and the exemptions for holding companies to provide loans to its wholly owned subsidiaries, etc.

¹² Refer to our article <u>NCLT- A Single Window Tribunal for Corporate Litigations</u> by Ms. Megha Saraf

8.2. SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

SEBI felt the need to enhance the enforceability of the regulatory provisions contained in the Listing Agreement. It was also seen that in various international jurisdictions (viz. USA, UK, etc.) the securities market regulator directly or indirectly scrutinizes/ reviews continuous disclosures. Accordingly, a committee consisting of members from stock exchange(s) and SEBI was formed to draft an all-encompassing umbrella listing regulations providing listing conditions and disclosure requirements for various categories of securities. Before that, SEBI had already issued one <u>Consultative paper on review of Corporate Governance norms in India</u>.

As a follow-up to its Press Release dated PR No. 130/2014 and <u>draft regulations</u> issued in the year 2014, SEBI on September 02, 2015 issued the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 to consolidate and streamline the provisions of existing listing agreements and regulations applicable to debt securities, thereby ensuring better enforceability.

Presently, Regulation 16 to 27 (Chapter IV) of LODR governs the provisions of corporate governance applicable to listed entities (including debt-listed entities).¹³

8.3. Revised PIT Regulations

The PIT Regulations, 1992 which remained in force for more than two decades received a much needed update in 2015 with the advent of the 2015 Regulations based on the recommendations of <u>NK Sodhi</u> <u>Committee</u>. The new regulations sought to mend the loopholes in the erstwhile law by providing a comprehensive mechanism of checking insider trading so that no instances were omitted. In furtherance of its objective, amongst other things, the new regulations expanded the definition of UPSI, designated persons and connected persons, brought in the concept of trading plan, introduced, for the first time, the need for maintenance of database of designated persons as well as the concept of sharing of information for legitimate purpose on a need-to-know basis. Over the years, these regulations have undergone further amendments which have given the regulation its present form. Refer *Chapter - 14* for detailed discussion on the subject.

8.4. Transitioning to Indian Accounting Standards

With the overall slogan of 'substance over form', and to align the presentation of financial statements in accordance with the internationally accepted IFRS, the Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) were introduced. The MCA introduced the <u>Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015</u> and announced the roadmap for implementation of Ind AS.¹⁴

8.5. Other developments

The <u>committee constituted by RBI under the chairmanship of Dr. P. J. Nayak</u> made several noteworthy recommendations, which were later implemented by RBI. Among other recommendations, the committee emphasized on board deliberations, board skills, and the removal of a calendar of reviews. Later RBI removed the calendar of reviews and instructed banks to focus on seven broad themes introduced by the committee for deliberation by their board.

9. 2017-2020: Decriminalisation of offences, SBO, auditors etc.

9.1. Decriminalisation of offences¹⁵

Contributing to the significant shift in corporate governance framework in India, MCA *vide* its <u>press</u> release constituted a committee in the year 2018 to review the penal provisions of the Companies Act,

¹³ Refer to our **LODR Resource Centre**

¹⁴ Excerpts taken from Indian Accounting Standards- An Overview by Mr Rahul Maharshi

¹⁵ Refer to our resources on decriminalisation:

^{(1) &}lt;u>Decriminalization of offences under commercial laws- A step further towards ease of doing business;</u>

2013. With an intent to ensure speedy trial of offences and promote ease of doing business under which offences which are of a technical nature or those that do not affect public interest prejudicially should be considered to be decriminalized. Based on the <u>report of the committee</u>, decriminalized the first tranche of 16 compoundable offences vide the <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2019</u>.

The second tranche of decriminalization was introduced in the <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2020¹⁶</u> on the basis of the report of the <u>Company Law Committee</u> set up in 2019, wherein MCA decriminalized 35 offences along with reduction in punishment of 23 offences and removal of penal provisions for 6 offences.

With the same motive to de-clog the courts or the NCLTs thereby reducing their burden from nonserious matters, Company Law Committee in its <u>report</u> recommended to decriminalise the provisions under LLP Act, 2008 on similar lines. The <u>LLP (Amendment) Act, 2021</u> thereafter decriminalised 8 offences and introduced in-house adjudication and compounding procedures.¹⁷

This move streamlined the prosecution regime by introducing mechanisms to reduce burden on courts and escalated ease of doing business in India.

9.2. Significant Beneficial Owners

MCA notified the Companies (Significant Beneficial Interest) Rules, 2018 with an intent to capture beneficial interest in the shareholding of companies. The concept of ultimate beneficial owners/ significant beneficial owners/ persons with significant control was not a new concept as it was already present in India. SEBI¹⁸ and RBI¹⁹, for the purpose of client due diligence under KYC requirements, mandated the identification of ultimate beneficial owners in case of clients being a company, partnership firm, LLP, Trust, or association of individuals.²⁰ Learn more about the concept of SBO and related provisions from our <u>resources</u>.

9.3. National Financial Reporting Authority

Akin to other countries having independent audit regulators and keeping in mind the scams and accounting frauds in India, the <u>National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA</u>) was constituted under the powers conferred under Section 132 of the Companies Act, 2013. NFRA is entrusted with recommending and enforcing compliance with accounting standards as well as conducting quality reviews. NFRA became a step ahead in corporate governance as its enhanced reporting standards and regulatory oversight aimed toward greater transparency and protection of investors.

9.4. Stewardship Code for institutional investors

"Stewardship" literally means the act of protecting the rights of the person to whom it is acting as a steward. In the context of shareholders' governance and capital markets, the institutional investors play the stewardship role for their clients/ beneficiaries as the funds invested by the institutional investors in the companies actually belong to the large pool of diversified investors who had invested in the institutional investors and hence it will not be wrong to say that the institutional investors are the "stewards" and not the "owners" of the funds invested by them. SEBI, in December 2019, introduced the <u>Stewardship code for Mutual Funds and all categories of AIFs, in relation to their investment in listed equities</u> ('SEBI Stewardship Code'). Although the stewardship responsibilities are tied to institutional investors; however, the monitoring and engagement required to be done by institutional

⁽²⁾ CLC Report: Moving company law a step closer to ease, and peace;

⁽³⁾ Decriminalisation of offences- MCA forms a review committee;

^{(4) &}lt;u>Recommendations of MCA's Committee Report on Decriminalisation;</u>

⁽⁵⁾ Major highlights of the Companies (Amendment) Bill, 2020;

⁽⁶⁾ Company Law Committee Report: Ease of life during an uneasy time

¹⁶ Also see our resource on Companies (Amendment) Act, 2020

¹⁷ To know more about the amendments, refer our resources - <u>Applicability of Provisions of the Companies Act</u> on LLPs & Reporting requirements: Recent changes

¹⁸ SEBI Circular dated January 24, 2013 - Guidelines on Identification of Beneficial Ownership

¹⁹ <u>Master Direction - Know Your Customer (KYC) Direction, 2016</u>

²⁰ Excerpts taken from <u>SBO Rules dilute the intent of Section 90</u> by Ms. Vinita Nair

investors pursuant to such stewardship responsibilities, serve as a constant check as well as motivator for the listed entities to level up their CG practices. Elaborate discussion on stewardship responsibilities of institutional investors can be referred in *Chapter – 13*.

Notably, before 2019, SEBI had issued the principles on voting by mutual funds *vide* Circular dated <u>15th March, 2010</u> and Circular dated <u>24th March, 2014</u> which provided for mandatory disclosure of voting policies and actual voting by the mutual fund on different resolutions of the investee company. The first time a formal code governing stewardship in India was notified by IRDAI in 2017 (later, revised in <u>2020</u>). Following the same, PFRDA issued the <u>Common Stewardship Code</u> for pension funds in 2018.²¹

9.5. Informant mechanism in PIT Regulations

Direct evidence of insider trading is not easily detected and that which is detected is purely circumstantial. In such a situation, information provided by the persons having inside knowledge of any violation is often helpful in timely and successful action against the violators. In order to facilitate communication of such information and to strengthen the mechanism for early detection and safeguarding of persons from victimization who have disclosed such information, SEBI amended PIT Regulations *vide* Securities and Exchange Board of India (Prohibition of Insider Trading) (Third Amendment) Regulations, 2019. It is a formalized process of receiving information that provides a reporting mechanism for violations relating to insider trading and incentivizing and protecting information, useful for detecting such violations of PIT Regulations. This process enables the person to submit information to the Office of Informatt Protection (OIP) directly or through a practicing advocate.²²

9.6. Other amendments to the Companies Act, 2013

This period saw various amendments to the Act 2013, namely, <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2017</u>, <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2019</u> and <u>Companies (Amendment) Act, 2020</u>.

The CAA 2017 was based on the recommendations of the Company Law Committee and among others, made changes to various provisions relating to IDs, related party transactions, definition of KMPs, etc. A brief of the said amendments can be read <u>here</u>.

The CAA 2019 was mainly aimed at decriminalisation of offences (see above). See an <u>analysis</u> of CAA 2019. The CAA 2020, besides decriminalisation, provided for exclusion of debt listed companies from the purview of listed companies. See detailed <u>presentation</u>.

10. 2021-2023: Web of controls on RPTs, CG norms for HVDLEs, enhanced CG norms for banks/NBFCs

10.1. Amendments in the framework of Related Party Transactions

Related Party Transactions ('RPTs'), though a vital and indispensable part of the functioning of companies, have a scope of being abused to derive undue benefits. With an aim to regulate and monitor related party transactions undertaken by entities, the regulators have, time and again, made changes in the RPT framework.

SEBI constituted a Working Group in November 2019 to review the policy space pertaining to related party transactions. The Working Group submitted its <u>report</u> in January, 2020, making far-reaching recommendations. The WG called for expanding the definition of RP to include all persons belonging to the promoter and promoter group, irrespective of their shareholding, and any person or any entity, holding equity shares of ten per cent or more. Definition of RPT was proposed to be expanded to include cross-RPTs (transactions of listed entity with related parties of subsidiaries, and those of subsidiaries with related parties of listed entity and fellow subsidiaries). Most importantly, the WG recognised those

²¹ Excerpts taken from <u>Stewardship Responsibilities of Institutional Investors in India: Global perspectives and the Way Ahead</u> by Sikha Bansal, Partner & Neha Malu, Senior Executive

²² Excerpts taken from <u>SEBI empowers Informants to quash any Insider Trading attempts</u> by Henil Shah

transactions done with unrelated parties, which have the purpose and effect of benefitting related parties, as related party transactions. Several other important amendments, including materiality thresholds, introduction of concept of material modification, requirement of approval of transactions undertaken by subsidiaries from the audit committee of parent listed entity, etc. were proposed by WG.

The recommendations led to comprehensive amendments in the form of SEBI LODR (Sixth Amendment) Regulations, 2021. The advent of stricter and widened RPT framework has prompted audit committees and the boards to re-think, and re-model their internal controls around RPTs. Elaborate discussion on the legal framework for RPTs and the amendments mentioned above, has been done in *Chapter - 10*.

10.2. CG norms for HVDLEs

Post introduction of SEBI (Issue and Listing of Non-Convertible Securities) Regulations, 2021, SEBI *vide* amendment in 2021 extended the corporate governance requirements²³, largely equivalent to that applicable to equity listed entities, to High Value Debt-Listed Entities (HVDLEs). A relaxation was provided to comply with the applicable CG requirements on a *"comply or explain"* basis till March 31, 2023 (later extended to March 31, 2024).²⁴

10.3. Annual secretarial compliance report

Regulation 24A was introduced in LODR *vide* LODR (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2021, which requires every listed entity to submit a secretarial compliance report to stock exchanges, within sixty days from end of each financial year. The said provision was inserted based on recommendations of CG committee chaired by Shri Uday Kotak. The report is focused on compliance, observations as well as the action taken by the company on applicable SEBI Regulations and circulars. See a detailed presentation <u>here</u>.

10.4. Enhanced CG norms for banks and NBFCs

RBI released a Discussion Paper on '<u>Governance in Commercial Banks in India</u>' with the objective of aligning the current regulatory framework with global best practices while being mindful of the context of domestic financial system. Based on the said discussion paper, RBI issued a circular titled <u>Corporate</u> <u>Governance in Banks – Appointment of Directors and Constitution of Committees of the Board</u>. The Circular consists of instructions by RBI on certain aspects viz. chair and meetings of the board, composition of certain committees of the board, age, tenure and remuneration of directors, and appointment of the whole-time directors ('WTDs').²⁵

Besides, based on concerns arising from adverse regulatory arbitrage posing systemic risk in the NBFC sector and in order to align the regulatory provisions with the objective of preserving financial stability and reducing systemic risks, a Scale Based Regulatory (SBR) framework was introduced, effective from October 1, 2022. The SBR is a calibrated and graded regulatory framework proportional to the systemic significance of NBFCs. In line with the above, the corporate governance structure of an NBFC also needs to be adequately enabled and made sufficiently independent so that it can ensure strict observance of all statutory and regulatory provisions. Therefore, to ensure an effective compliance culture, the RBI had proposed an independent corporate compliance function and a strong compliance risk management programme, along with the appointment of a functionally independent Chief Compliance Officer (CCO), who should be sufficiently senior in the organization hierarchy²⁶.

For detailed discussion on CG norms for banks and NBFCs, refer Chapter - 24 & Chapter - 25.

²³ Excerpts taken form <u>High value</u>' debt listed entities under full scale corporate governance requirements by Vinod Kothari and Vinita Nair

²⁴ Refer to our <u>FAQs on LODR amendment on 'High Value' debt listed entities</u> for detailed provisions.

²⁵Strengthening Corporate Governance Norms in Banks – An after dose to a wounded governance system by Aanchal Kaur Nagpal/

²⁶ Excerpts taken from <u>Enhanced Corporate Governance and Compliance Function for larger NBFCs</u> by Anita Baid

10.5. Revised norms for materiality-based disclosures

The LODR (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2023 introduced revised norms for materiality-based disclosures under regulation 30 of LODR. From quantitative thresholds to revised disclosure timelines and verification of market rumours, Regulation 30 has undergone many changes pursuant to the said Amendment Regulations. For detailed discussion on this aspect, refer *Chapter - 15*.

Besides, Regulation 30A was also introduced which requires disclosure of agreements (including subsisting agreements) having an impact on the management and control of the company. For detailed discussion on this aspect, refer *Chapter - 16*.

Closing Remarks

With an ever-evolving capital market and dynamic investor base, the principles, norms and practices around corporate governance continue to evolve. Notably, the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance have been recently revised as <u>G20/OECD Corporate Governance Principles</u>, 2023 in the recent G20 summit in order to strengthen policy and regulatory frameworks for corporate governance that support sustainability and access to finance from capital markets, which in turn can contribute to the resilience of the broader economy²⁷. Similarly, law-makers and regulators in India have been constantly monitoring the CG developments and issuing various amendments in the laws and regulations to elevate corporate governance practices for entities²⁸.

However, with India's economy becoming more integrated with the global market, growing relevance of ESG concerns and technological evolution, and in general, as the capital market evolves and investors' expectations change, CG will continue to grow. As such, one can never say that CG has evolved; as the world evolves, the legislative and the regulators will have to keep up the pace with the changing times. Therefore, the journey of CG is not meant to stop; rather, it shall continue to evolve and set milestones. Take for example, the fascinating capabilities of information technology and artificial intelligence and the potential contribution these can make in the field of corporate governance. With growing needs of real-time information dissemination, stakeholder engagement, data assimilation. verification and protection, and ever-increasing regulatory compliances, the need for an IT/AI-driven governance function cannot be undermined. In an article Governance by technology: The future of corporate governance, we discuss the ways in which the immense potential of the information technology can be used in rewriting the CG standards and norms for changing the face of it as it looks to be. Simultaneously, the inherent possibilities of misuse of IT/AI would also compel law-makers and regulators to come-up with governing laws around the same, similar to those relating to cyber security. Similarly, the role of independent intermediaries like proxy advisors is gaining a lot of attention (refer discussion in Chapter - 12). Besides, there are certain cribbing issues like succession planning, executive compensation, etc. which continue to haunt the corporates not only in India but across the world. One can expect CG to evolve around these aspects as well. We detail out certain emerging trends in CG in *Chapter – 2*.

²⁷ Detailed analysis can be read <u>here</u>.

²⁸For detailed discussion, refer this <u>article.</u>